

**JKL Bahweting School
Educator Evaluation and Student Achievement
and Growth Plan**

2016-17



Contents

| | |
|--|-------|
| I. Purpose..... | pg. 4 |
| II. Beliefs..... | pg. 4 |
| III. Educator Performance Evaluation Protocol..... | pg. 5 |
| A. Educator-specific student academic achievement..... | pg. 6 |
| B. Formal Evaluation..... | pg. 6 |
| C. Evidence of Professional Growth..... | pg. 7 |
| D. Professional Contributions/Professional Activities..... | pg. 7 |
| IV. Definition of Adequate Performance..... | pg. 7 |
| V. Performance-based Compensation..... | pg. 8 |
| VI. Alterations in Evaluation based on Leave of Absence..... | pg. 8 |
| VII. Evaluation Time Frame..... | pg. 8 |

Appendices

| | |
|--|--------|
| Appendix A: Common Operational Definition & Acronym | pg. 9 |
| Appendix B: Educator-Specific Student Academic Achievement | pg. 11 |
| Rubric | |
| Appendix C: Domains of Effective Teaching Matrix..... | pg. 19 |
| Appendix D: Teacher Summative Evaluation..... | pg. 53 |
| Appendix E: JKL Bahweting School Pre-observation Form..... | pg. 57 |
| Appendix F: JKL Bahweting School Lesson Observation Report..... | pg. 59 |
| Appendix G: JKL Bahweting School Observation Reflection Form..... | pg. 63 |
| Appendix H: JKL Bahweting Supervision and Evaluation Cycle..... | pg. 66 |
| Appendix I: The Leadership Performance Matrix..... | pg. 67 |
| Appendix J: Administrator Summative Evaluation..... | pg. 79 |
| Appendix K: Administrator Evaluation Timeline/Protocol..... | pg. 84 |
| Appendix L: JKL Bahweting Anishnabe PSA Individual Professional Growth Plan (IPGP)..... | pg. 85 |
| Appendix M: IPGP Rubric..... | pg. 89 |
| Appendix N: Professional Contributions/Professional Activities | pg. 91 |
| Rubric | |
| Appendix O: Memorandum of Understanding..... | pg. 93 |
| Appendix P: Educator Performance Evaluation Summative..... | pg. 94 |
| References..... | pg. 97 |

The following document has been the result of the on-going, dedicated work of the Educator Evaluation & Student Growth Workgroup. A sincere “thank you” to these dedicated people. Without your work, this plan would not be possible.

I. Purpose

The purpose of educator evaluation is to provide relevant data on educator effectiveness substantiated in student achievement and focuses on continual improvement.

II. Beliefs

We believe educator evaluation at JKL Bahweting School will facilitate...

- A common understanding of educator effectiveness and greater job fulfillment
- A clear system of communication
- Dedication to the educational atmosphere and process
- Procedures all educators can use to bring about continuous improvement
- A system of collaboration between and across educator roles: educator to students, teacher-to-teacher, teachers to administrators, and admin to admin
- Personalized professional development for educators that will impact student achievement
- Effective teaching and planning, where data-driven decisions are being made for educators and students

III. Educator Performance Evaluation Protocol

Educator evaluation will be comprised of the following components:

Ratings--4 = Exemplary 3 = Proficient 2 = Progressing 1 = Unsatisfactory

| Components | % | Evidence for Rating |
|---|--|---|
| A. Educator-specific student academic achievement | 25% <small>(2016/17)</small> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proficiency (41st percentile+) on NWEA MAP--for subjects teachers provide instruction; specials teachers use K-8 MAP ELA/Math scores—15% • Proficiency (70% +) on Study Island instead of MAP for teachers who teach only social studies—15% • 3 quality data points for subjects/areas taught/supervised that demonstrate improved student achievement- 10% |
| B. Formal Evaluation Results | 25% | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Based on formal observation overall rating using Danielson Domains of Effective Teaching/Reeves Ten Leadership Dimension Indicators-- 25% |
| C. Evidence of Professional Growth | 25% | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Completion of IPGP/Administrative Goal—8.33% • Four quality examples of how you used additional/recent (up to three years with added dimensions) professional development opportunities on an on-going basis in order to create specific instructional/classroom adaptations to improve professional practice and learning outcomes for students – 8.33% • Evidence of at least one active involvement in school/ISD committee(s) and evidence as to how involvement improved student achievement – 8.33% |
| D. Professional Contributions/ Professional Activities | 25% | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Four quality examples of leadership, teaching, behavioral and/or curriculum research-based strategies (not used in Component C) associated with improved student achievement—8.33% • One required classroom observation per semester—8.33% • Evidence of participation in 10 (teacher)/20 (administrator) school-related extra-curricular activities –8.33% |

Component A. - Educator-Specific Student Academic Achievement

Educator-specific student academic achievement will be based on:

1. Proficiency (41st percentile +) on NWEA MAP for subjects taught for general education teachers--15%
2. Proficient (41st percentile +) on NWEA MAP for K-8 ELA & Math scores for specials teachers—15%
3. Proficiency (70% +) on Study Island instead of NWEA MAP for teachers who teach only Social Studies—15%
4. 3 quality data points for subjects/areas taught/supervised that demonstrate improved student achievement- 10%

- *TCI SS or Science assessments
- *Everyday Math/Connected Math assessments
- *F & P
- *Writing assessments
- *Study Island
- *Other pre-approved classroom assessments.

Component B. - Formal Evaluation

The formal evaluations component is worth **25%** of the overall evaluation. Formal evaluations will be based on formal classroom observations. Teachers will be evaluated and rated using the four Domains of Effective Teaching (see Appendix C). These domains include: planning and preparation, classroom environment, instruction, and professional responsibilities. The cycle of formal evaluation process is a progressive plan designed to provide the support needed for teachers to be effective in their role. The cycle is outlined in the “JKL Bahweting School Supervision and Evaluation Cycle”, Appendix H. Ratings of effectiveness for teachers will be classified as follows: exemplary, proficient, progressing, and unsatisfactory (see chart below).

Formal evaluations for administrators will be based on formal observations of work-related duties and is worth **25%** of the overall evaluation. Administrators will be evaluated and rated using nine domains of effective Leadership Performance (see Appendix I). These domains include: resilience, personal behavior, decision making, communication, faculty development, leadership development, time/task/project management, technology, and learning. Ratings of effectiveness for administrators will be classified as follows: exemplary, proficient, progressing, and unsatisfactory (see chart below).

Ratings of effectiveness for teachers and administrators will be classified as follows: exemplary, proficient, progressing, and unsatisfactory. Reporting of these ratings in CEPI will be as follows:

| <u>JKL Effectiveness Rating</u> | <u>CEPI Reporting</u> |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Exemplary | Highly Effective |
| Proficient | Effective |
| Progressing | Effective |
| Unsatisfactory | Ineffective |

Component C. - Evidence of Professional Growth

An IPGP for newly-hired educators will be developed, with the input of their supervisor, by the end of the first year of employment. In the fall of each year, returning educators will develop an IPGP. At the conclusion of the school year, the educator will determine the degree to which they met the goal(s) of the IPGP by using the IPGP Rubric (see Appendix L). Educators will collect and present data to their supervisor at this time to support their self-evaluation towards meeting the IPGP goal(s). The educator and supervisor will hold a conversation regarding the IPGP rating and both will sign the document in agreement of the rating. This component is worth **8.33%** of overall evaluation. See IPGP Rubric, Appendix L.

Component D. - Professional Contributions/Professional Activities

Educators will be acknowledged for pursuits that lead to professional growth. Such activities include sustained, active participation in school, and/or local organizations, professional learning communities (PLCs), book studies, implementing research-based instructional strategies, action-research projects, curriculum review teams (CRTs), school improvement efforts, and school-sponsored activities (see Common Operational Definitions, Appendix A and Professional Contributions/Professional Activities Rubric, Appendix M).

IV. Definition of Adequate Performance

- When all elements of the Educator Evaluation Protocol are rated “Proficient” or “Exemplary”, adequate performance has been achieved.
- When an element of the Educator Evaluation Protocol is rated as “Progressing”, staff should pursue actions for reaching “Proficient” the following year.
- A Corrective Action Plan, indicating less than adequate performance, may be implemented for staff when
 - one or more elements are rated “Unsatisfactory”
 - multiple elements are rated as “Progressing”
 - an element rated “Progressing” from the previous year maintains that rating in the subsequent year.

V. Performance-based Compensation

Educators under this plan will be compensated the sum of \$100.00 if it is determined by the Michigan Department of Education that JKL Bahweting School has met all conditions for achieving Expected Improvement (to be fully defined at later date) for the school year. This will be reviewed annually.

VI. Alterations in Evaluation:

Circumstances may occur that result in a staff member completing less than a full year of instruction to students. These may include, but are not limited to leave of absence and being hired during the school year. Evaluation cycle alterations will be determined on an individual basis, between the individual and their immediate supervisor.

VII. Evaluation Time Frame:

The teacher evaluation time frame is September through June

The administrator time frame is March to March of the following year.

VIII. Training of Teachers and Administrators on the Educator Evaluation Plan

At the beginning of each school year, teachers and administrators will be trained by District administrators on how the framework will be used to evaluate them. These District administrators have received formal training on the implementation of the Danielson and Reeves Leadership frameworks.

Appendix A: Common Operational Definitions and Acronyms

| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Accommodate, Accommodation | To make adjustments based on contextual considerations and learning needs |
| Adjustments | Suitable changes or modifications made in response to new knowledge or feedback |
| Assessment | 1) The process of collecting information about a student to aid tin decision making about the progress and development of the student. 2) A way of measuring or demonstrating student outcomes |
| Assessment Criteria | The standards, expectations, and measures used in an assessment tool |
| Assessment Results | Information about student proficiency gained through assessments |
| Assessment Tools | Activities where students can demonstrate learning |
| Consistently | Acting in a nearly identical manner over time |
| Board Policies | Written expectations for teachers established by the school district |
| CEPI | Center for Educational Performance and Evaluation |
| CRT | Curriculum Review Team |
| Culture of Professional Inquiry | A learning community where colleagues collaborate and engage in dialogue as professional development |
| Developmental Characteristics | Unique qualities related to social, emotional, and cognitive abilities that distinguish children of different ages and stages |
| Differentiate, Differentiation | Providing students with avenues or pathways suited to their needs to acquire knowledge and skills |
| Disaggregated | Separated into different categories or to show individual student results |
| Educator | Highly Qualified teacher and administrators |
| Engages, Engaged | Attracts and involves student attention or interest |
| Feedback | Information and recommendations provided to a student about his/her performance designed to help the student improve performance |
| Formative Assessment | Assessment used while the learning process is underway or recently completed, and designed to inform current or future instruction |
| High Expectations | Anticipation that students will master appropriate learning objectives and demonstrate mastery with quality outcomes |
| High Quality (Questions) | Questions that allow students to demonstrate higher level thinking skills on Bloom's Taxonomy (e.g. create, evaluate, analyze, apply, describe, and explain) |
| Inconsistent | Does not apply or implement similar reactions in response to the dame type of student behaviors |
| Inefficiently | Improperly using time of resources to the point it disrupts a lesson or learning time |
| Infrequently | Behavior that does not occur often |
| Instructional Approaches | Strategies and techniques aimed at nurturing student learning |
| Instructional Grouping | Intentionally grouping students for learning activities |
| IPGP | Individual Professional Growth Plan (formerly known as IDP) |
| Learning Standard | Objectives outlined by Grade Level Content Expectations |
| Low Expectations | Anticipating that students have minimal ability to complete tasks and master objectives |
| Low Quality (Questions) | Questions that do not allow students to exercise higher level thinking skills in Bloom's Taxonomy (e.g. primarily promoting rote memorization) |
| Minimal | The least amount (with regard to student buy-in, rarely attempts to facilitate |

| | |
|---|---|
| | student interaction with the content) |
| Moderate Expectations | Reasonable expectations that do not necessarily push or challenge students to achieve |
| Monitor | Checking in on how students are moving through the learning process |
| Most | 1) Occurs the majority of time; 2) Demonstrates a behavior with regularity and consistency |
| Non-Instructional (Records) | Documents and forms that are not directly related to tracking student learning (attendance records, free-or-reduced lunch forms, etc.) |
| PIC | Personal Identification Code (teacher)- follows teacher everywhere |
| PLC (Professional Learning Community) | Team who meets regularly to collaborate toward continued improvement in meeting learner needs through a shared focus, (Danielson) e.g. Lesson study, Examining student work samples, Book study, Serve on curriculum/school improvement committee, Participation in district initiative, Curriculum Review Team, School-sponsored activities (SSA), Presentations, Extracurricular Activity Leadership, |
| Prerequisite Relationships | Identified connections between new curriculum or learning materials and those that students have previously experienced |
| Professional Development Activities/Opportunities | Events designed to improve specific professional skills or the overall competence of a teacher |
| Quality Evidence | Supports demonstration of strong student academic achievement/growth |
| REP | Registry of Educational Personnel |
| School and District Regulations | District or school policies that are in writing and communicated to the staff |
| SIDR: | Student Information and Data Review |
| Some | 1) One of more; 2) Demonstrates a behavior occasionally but lacks consistency |
| Special Learning Needs | Learning conditions and strategies appropriate for individuals with unique learning conditions |
| Standard | A description of the level of student performance that described competence, established by a curriculum, Grade Level Content Expectations, Common Core State Standards, or a teacher |
| Standards of Conduct | Classroom rules, expectations, or norms regarding appropriate student behavior |
| Student Proficiency | Student expertise, knowledge, or mastery of a skill or standard |
| Student Progress | The extent to which individual students are moving through the learning process |
| Tracking (Systems) | Procedures or methods of gathering information on student performance over time |
| TSDL | Teacher Student Data Link |
| UIC | Unique Identification Code (student)-follows student everywhere |

Appendix B-1:

General Education Student Academic Achievement Rubric

1. Proficient (41st percentile +) on NWEA MAP for subjects taught for general education teachers—15%
2. Proficient (41st percentile +) on NWEA MAP for K-8 ELA & Math scores for specials teachers—15%
3. Proficient (70% +) on Study Island instead of NWEA MAP for teachers who teach only social studies—15%
4. 3 quality data points for subjects/areas taught/supervised that demonstrate improved student achievement- 10%

*TCI SS or Science assessments

*Everyday Math/Connected Math assessments

*F & P

*Writing assessments

*Study Island

*Other pre-approved classroom assessments.

Exemplary

- Educator demonstrates 80% or more of students are proficient on data points

Proficient

- Educator demonstrates 70-79% of students are proficient on data points

Progressing

- Educator demonstrates 60-69% of students are proficient on data points

Unsatisfactory

- Educator demonstrates 59% or less of students are proficient on data points

OR

- Educator demonstrates 80% of students achieving 1.5 years of

OR

- Educator demonstrates 80% of students achieving 1.3 - 1.49 years of

OR

- Educator demonstrates 80% of students achieving 1.0- 1.29 years of

OR

- Educator demonstrates 80% of students achieving 1.0 or less years of

| | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| academic growth | academic growth | academic growth | academic growth |
| OR Fall to Spring MAP comparison growth demonstrating 30+ percentile growth | OR Fall to Spring MAP comparison growth demonstrating 25-29 percentile growth | OR Fall to Spring MAP comparison growth demonstrating 20-24 percentile growth | OR Fall to Spring MAP comparison growth demonstrating < 20 percentile growth |

- **NOTE**
Subpopulations can be reported separately from general classroom population- (see B-2 through B-4)

Appendix B-2:

Academic Services Student Academic Achievement Rubric

1. Proficient (41st percentile +) on NWEA MAP for subjects taught for general education/AS teachers—15%
 2. Proficient (41st percentile +) on NWEA MAP for K-8 ELA & Math for specials teachers—15%
 3. Proficient (70% +) on Study Island instead of NWEA MAP for teachers who teach only social studies—15%
- 3 quality data points for subjects/areas taught/supervised that demonstrate improved student achievement- 10%

- *TCI SS or Science assessments
- *Everyday Math/Connected Math assessments
- *F & P
- *Writing assessments
- *Study Island
- *Other pre-approved classroom assessments

| | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| <p>Exemplary</p> <p>➤ Educator demonstrates 50% or more of A.S. students are proficient on data points</p> | <p>Proficient</p> <p>➤ Educator demonstrates 45-49% of A.S. students are proficient on data points</p> | <p>Progressing</p> <p>➤ Educator demonstrates 40-44% of A.S. students are proficient on data points</p> | <p>Unsatisfactory</p> <p>➤ Educator demonstrates 39% or less of A.S. students are proficient on data points</p> |
| <p>OR</p> <p>➤ Educator demonstrates 80% of students achieving 1.5 years of academic growth</p> | <p>OR</p> <p>➤ Educator demonstrates 80% of A.S. students achieving 1.3-1.49 years of academic growth</p> | <p>OR</p> <p>➤ Educator demonstrates 80% of A.S. students achieving 1-1.29 years of academic growth</p> | <p>OR</p> <p>➤ Educator demonstrates 80% of A.S. students achieving 1.0 or less years of academic growth</p> |

OR

Fall to Spring
MAP comparison
growth
demonstrating
30+ percentile
growth

OR

Fall to Spring
MAP comparison
growth
demonstrating
25-29 percentile
growth

OR

Fall to Spring MAP
comparison growth
demonstrating
20-24 percentile
growth

OR

Fall to Spring MAP
comparison growth
demonstrating
<20 percentile growth

Appendix B-3:

Special Education Student Academic Achievement Rubric

1. Proficient (41st percentile +) on NWEA MAP for subjects taught for general education/special education teachers—15%
2. Proficient (41st percentile +) on NWEA MAP for K-8 ELA & Math scores for specials teachers—15%
3. Proficient (70% +) on Study Island instead of NWEA MAP for teachers who teach only social studies —15%
4. 3 quality data points for subjects/areas taught/supervised that demonstrate improved student achievement- 10%

- *TCI SS or Science assessments
- *Everyday Math/Connected Math assessments
- *F & P
- *Writing assessments
- *Study Island
- *Other pre-approved classroom assessments

| Exemplary | Proficient | Progressing | Unsatisfactory |
|--|---|---|--|
| ➤ Educator demonstrates 40% or more of sped students are proficient on data points | ➤ Educator demonstrates 35-39% of sped students are proficient on data points | ➤ Educator demonstrates 30-34% of sped students are proficient on data points | ➤ Educator demonstrates 29% or less of sped students are proficient on data points |

OR

- Educator demonstrates 80% of students achieving 1.5 years of academic growth

OR

- Educator demonstrates 80% of sped students achieving (1.3) years of academic growth

OR

- Educator demonstrates 80% of sped students achieving (1.0) years of academic growth

OR

- Educator demonstrates 80% of sped students achieving less than one year of academic growth

OR

Fall to Spring MAP comparison growth demonstrating 30+ percentile growth

OR

Fall to Spring MAP comparison growth demonstrating 25-29 percentile growth

OR

Fall to Spring MAP comparison growth demonstrating 20-24 percentile growth

OR

Fall to Spring MAP comparison growth demonstrating < 20 percentile growth

*Note—A student with a CI eligibility will be considered proficient if he/she meets projected RIT growth on Fall to Spring MAP

Appendix B-4:

**Gifted and Talented Student Academic Achievement Rubric
(Academic Aptitude and Intelligence students only)**

1. Proficient (41st percentile +) on NWEA MAP for subjects taught for general education teachers—15%
2. Proficient (41st percentile +) on NWEA MAP for K-8 ELA & Math for specials teachers—15%
3. Proficient (70% +) on Fall to Spring Study Island instead of NWEA MAP for teachers who only teach social studies —15%
4. 3 quality data points for subjects/areas taught/supervised that demonstrate improved student achievement- 10%
—10%
 - *TCI SS or Science assessments
 - *Everyday Math/Connected Math assessments
 - *F & P
 - *Writing assessments
 - *Study Island
 - *Other pre-approved classroom assessments

| Exemplary | Proficient | Progressing | Unsatisfactory |
|--|---|---|--|
| ➤ Educator demonstrates 90% or more of GT students are proficient on data points | ➤ Educator demonstrates 80-89% of GT students are proficient on data points | ➤ Educator demonstrates 79-75% of GT students are proficient on data points | ➤ Educator demonstrates 74% or less of GT students are proficient on data points |

OR

- Educator demonstrates 80% of students achieving 1.5 years of academic growth

OR

- Educator demonstrates 80% of GT students achieving 1.0 years of academic growth

OR

- Educator demonstrates 80% of GT students achieving 1.0 years of academic growth

OR

- Educator demonstrates 80% of GT students achieving 1.0 or less years of academic growth

Appendix C: Domains of Effective Teaching Matrix

Source: Enhancing Professional Practice: A Framework for Teaching, Charlotte Danielson, ASCD, 2007

| DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION Component 1a: Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Pedagogy Elements: Knowledge of content and the structure of the discipline • Knowledge of prerequisite relationships • Knowledge of content-related pedagogy | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| ELEMENT / MDE CROSSWALK | L E V E L O F P E R F O R M A N C E | | | |
| | (4) EXEMPLARY (Highly Effective) | (3) PROFICIENT (Effective) | (2) PROGRESSING (Effective) | (1) UNSATISFACTORY (Ineffective) |
| Knowledge of content and the structure of the discipline | Teacher displays extensive knowledge of the important concepts in the discipline and how these relate both to one another and to other disciplines. | Teacher displays solid knowledge of the important concepts in the discipline and how these relate to one another. | Teacher is familiar with the important concepts in the discipline but may display lack of awareness of how these concepts relate to one another. | In planning and practice, teacher makes content errors or does not correct errors made by students. |
| Knowledge of prerequisite relationships | Teacher's plans and practices reflect understanding of prerequisite relationships among topics and concepts and a link to necessary cognitive structures by students to ensure understanding. | Teacher's plans and practice reflect accurate understanding of prerequisite relationships among topics and concepts. | Teacher's plans and practice indicate some awareness of prerequisite relationships, although such knowledge may be inaccurate or incomplete. | Teacher's plans and practice display little understanding of prerequisite relationships important to student learning of the content. |
| Knowledge of content-related pedagogy | Teacher's plans and practice reflect familiarity with a wide range of effective pedagogical approaches in the discipline, anticipating student misconceptions. | Teacher's plans and practice reflect familiarity with a wide range of effective pedagogical approaches in the discipline. | Teacher's plans and practice reflect a limited range of pedagogical approaches or some approaches that are not suitable to the discipline or to the students. | Teacher displays little or no understanding of the range of pedagogical approaches suitable to student learning of the content. |

DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION

Component 1b: Demonstrating Knowledge of Students

Elements: Knowledge of child and adolescent development • Knowledge of the learning process • Knowledge of students' skills, knowledge, and language proficiency • Knowledge of students' interests and cultural heritage • Knowledge of students' special needs

| ELEMENT / MDE CROSSWALK | L E V E L O F P E R F O R M A N C E | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| | (4) EXEMPLARY (Highly Effective) | (3) PROFICIENT (Effective) | (2) PROGRESSING (Effective) | (1) UNSATISFACTORY (Ineffective) |
| Knowledge of child and adolescent development | In addition to accurate knowledge of the typical developmental characteristics of the age group and exceptions to the general patterns, teacher displays knowledge of the extent to which individual students follow the general patterns. | Teacher displays accurate understanding of the typical developmental characteristics of the age group, as well as exceptions to the general patterns. | Teacher displays partial knowledge of the developmental characteristics of the age group. | Teacher displays little or no knowledge of the developmental characteristics of the age group. |
| Knowledge of the learning process | Teacher displays extensive and subtle understanding of how students learn and applies this knowledge to individual students. | Teacher's knowledge of how students learn is accurate and current. Teacher applies this knowledge to the class as a whole and to groups of students. | Teacher recognizes the value of knowing how students learn, but this knowledge is limited or outdated. | Teacher sees no value in understanding how students learn and does not seek such information. |

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| <p>Knowledge of students' skills, knowledge, and language proficiency</p> | <p>Teacher displays understanding of individual students' skills, knowledge, and language proficiency and has a strategy for maintaining such information.</p> | <p>Teacher recognizes the value of understanding students' skills, knowledge, and language proficiency and displays this knowledge for groups of students.</p> | <p>Teacher recognizes the value of understanding students' skills, knowledge, and language proficiency but displays this knowledge only for the class as a whole.</p> | <p>Teacher displays little or no knowledge of students' skills, knowledge, and language proficiency and does not indicate that such knowledge is valuable.</p> |
|--|--|--|---|--|

DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION

Component 1b: Demonstrating Knowledge of Students *(continued)*

Elements: Knowledge of child and adolescent development • Knowledge of the learning process • Knowledge of students' skills, knowledge, and language proficiency • Knowledge of students' interests and cultural heritage • Knowledge of students' special needs

| ELEMENT / MDE CROSSWALK | L E V E L O F P E R F O R M A N C E | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| | (4) EXEMPLARY (Highly Effective) | (3) PROFICIENT (Effective) | (2) PROGRESSING (Effective) | (1) UNSATISFACTORY (Ineffective) |
| Knowledge of students' interests and cultural heritage | Teacher recognizes the value of understanding students' interests and cultural heritage and displays this knowledge for individual students. | Teacher recognizes the value of understanding students' interests and cultural heritage and displays this knowledge for groups of students. | Teacher recognizes the value of understanding students' interests and cultural heritage but displays this knowledge only for the class as a whole. | Teacher displays little or no knowledge of students' interests or cultural heritage and does not indicate that such knowledge is valuable. |
| Knowledge of students' special needs | Teacher possesses information about each student's learning and medical needs, collecting such information from a variety of sources. | Teacher is aware of students' special learning and medical needs. | Teacher displays awareness of the importance of knowing students' special learning or medical needs, but such knowledge may be incomplete or inaccurate. | Teacher displays little or no understanding of students' special learning or medical needs or why such knowledge is important. |

DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION

Component 1c: Setting Instructional Outcomes

Elements: Value, sequence, and alignment • Clarity • Balance • Suitability for diverse learners

| ELEMENT / MDE CROSSWALK | L E V E L O F P E R F O R M A N C E | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| | (4) EXEMPLARY (Highly Effective) | (3) PROFICIENT (Effective) | (2) PROGRESSING (Effective) | (1) UNSATISFACTORY (Ineffective) |
| Value, sequence, and alignment | All outcomes represent high expectations and rigor and important learning in the discipline. They are connected to a sequence of learning both in the discipline and in related disciplines. | Most outcomes represent high expectations and rigor and important learning in the discipline. They are connected to a sequence of learning. | Outcomes represent moderately high expectations and rigor. Some reflect important learning in the discipline and at least some connection to a sequence of learning. | Outcomes represent low expectations for students and lack of rigor. They do not reflect important learning in the discipline or a connection to a sequence of learning. |
| Clarity | All the outcomes are clear, written in the form of student learning, and permit viable methods of assessment. | All the instructional outcomes are clear, written in the form of student learning. Most suggest viable methods of assessment. | Outcomes are only moderately clear or consist of a combination of outcomes and activities. Some outcomes do not permit viable methods of assessment. | Outcomes are either not clear or are stated as activities, not as student learning. Outcomes do not permit viable methods of assessment. |
| Balance | Where appropriate, outcomes reflect several different types of learning and opportunities for both coordination and integration. | Outcomes reflect several different types of learning and opportunities for coordination. | Outcomes reflect several types of learning, but teacher has made no attempt at coordination or integration. | Outcomes reflect only one type of learning and only one discipline or strand. |

| | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| <p>Suitability for diverse learners</p> | <p>Outcomes are based on a comprehensive assessment of student learning and take into account the varying needs of individual students or groups.</p> | <p>Most of the outcomes are suitable for all students in the class and are based on evidence of student proficiency. However, the needs of some individual students may not be accommodated.</p> | <p>Most of the outcomes are suitable for most of the students in the class based on global assessments of student learning.</p> | <p>Outcomes are not suitable for the class or are not based on any assessment of student needs.</p> |
|--|---|--|---|---|

Domain 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION
Component 1d: Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources

Elements: Resources for classroom use • Resources to extend content knowledge and pedagogy • Resources for students

| ELEMENT / MDE CROSSWALK | L E V E L O F P E R F O R M A N C E | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| | (4) EXEMPLARY (Highly Effective) | (3) PROFICIENT (Effective) | (2) PROGRESSING (Effective) | (1) UNSATISFACTORY (Ineffective) |
| Resources for classroom use | Teacher's knowledge of resources for classroom use is extensive, including those available through the school or district, in the community, through professional organizations and universities, and on the Internet. | Teacher displays awareness of resources available for classroom use through the school or district and some familiarity with resources external to the school and on the Internet. | Teacher displays awareness of resources available for classroom use through the school or district but no knowledge of resources available more broadly. | Teacher is unaware of resources for classroom use available through the school or district. |
| Resources to extend content knowledge and pedagogy | Teacher's knowledge of resources to enhance content and pedagogical knowledge is extensive, including those available through the school or district, in the community, through professional organizations and universities, and on the Internet. | Teacher displays awareness of resources to enhance content and pedagogical knowledge available through the school or district and some familiarity with resources external to the school and on the Internet. | Teacher displays awareness of resources to enhance content and pedagogical knowledge available through the school or district but no knowledge of resources available more broadly. | Teacher is unaware of resources to enhance content and pedagogical knowledge available through the school or district. |

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| <p>Resources for students</p> | <p>Teacher's knowledge of resources for students is extensive, including those available through the school or district, in the community, and on the Internet.</p> | <p>Teacher displays awareness of resources for students available through the school or district and some familiarity with resources external to the school and on the Internet.</p> | <p>Teacher displays awareness of resources for students available through the school or district but no knowledge of resources available more broadly.</p> | <p>Teacher is unaware of resources for students available through the school or district.</p> |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|

Domain 1: **PLANNING AND PREPARATION**

Component 1e: Designing Coherent Instruction

Elements: Learning activities • Instructional materials and resources • Instructional groups • Lesson and unit structure

| ELEMENT/ MDE CROSSWALK | L E V E L O F P E R F O R M A N C E | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| | (4) EXEMPLARY (Highly Effective) | (3) PROFICIENT (Effective) | (2) PROGRESSING (Effective) | (1) UNSATISFACTORY (Ineffective) |
| Learning activities | Learning activities are highly suitable to diverse learners and support the instructional outcomes. They are all designed to engage students in high-level cognitive activity and are differentiated, as appropriate, for individual learners. | All of the learning activities are suitable to students or to the instructional outcomes, and most represent significant cognitive challenge, with some differentiation for different groups of students. | Only some of the learning activities are suitable to students or to the instructional outcomes. Some represent a moderate cognitive challenge, but with no differentiation for different students. | Learning activities are not suitable to students or to instructional outcomes and are not designed to engage students in active intellectual activity. |
| Instructional materials and resources | All of the materials and resources are suitable to students, support the instructional outcomes, and are designed to engage students in meaningful learning. There is evidence of appropriate use of technology and of student participation in selecting or adapting materials. | All of the materials and resources are suitable to students, support the instructional outcomes, and are designed to engage students in meaningful learning. | Some of the materials and resources are suitable to students, support the instructional outcomes, and engage students in meaningful learning. | Materials and resources are not suitable for students and do not support the instructional outcomes or engage students in meaningful learning. |

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| <p>Instructional groups</p> | <p>Instructional groups are varied as appropriate to the students and the different instructional outcomes. There is evidence of student choice in selecting the different patterns of instructional groups.</p> | <p>Instructional groups are varied as appropriate to the students and the different instructional outcomes.</p> | <p>Instructional groups partially support the instructional outcomes, with an effort at providing some variety.</p> | <p>Instructional groups do not support the instructional outcomes and offer no variety.</p> |
|------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|

DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION

Component 1e: Designing Coherent Instruction (*continued*)

Elements: Learning activities • Instructional materials and resources • Instructional groups • Lesson and unit structure

| ELEMENT/ MDE CROSSWALK | L E V E L O F P E R F O R M A N C E | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| | (4) EXEMPLARY (Highly Effective) | (3) PROFICIENT (Effective) | (2) PROGRESSING (Effective) | (1) UNSATISFACTORY (Ineffective) |
| Lesson and unit structure | . The lesson's or unit's structure is clear and allows for different pathways according to diverse student needs. The progression of activities is highly coherent | . The lesson or unit has a clearly defined structure around which activities are organized. Progression of activities is even, with reasonable time allocations. | The lesson or unit has a recognizable structure, although the structure is not uniformly maintained throughout. Progression of activities is uneven, with most time allocations reasonable | . The lesson or unit has no clearly defined structure, or the structure is chaotic. Activities do not follow an organized progression, and time allocations are unrealistic |

DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION

Component 1f: Designing Student Assessments

Elements: Congruence with instructional outcomes • Criteria and standards • Design of formative assessments • Use for planning

| ELEMENT/MDE CROSSWALK | L E V E L O F P E R F O R M A N C E | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| | (4) EXEMPLARY (Highly Effective) | (3) PROFICIENT (Effective) | (2) PROGRESSING (Effective) | (1) UNSATISFACTORY (Ineffective) |
| Congruence with instructional outcomes | Proposed approach to assessment is fully aligned with the instructional outcomes in both content and process. Assessment methodologies have been adapted for individual students, as needed. | All the instructional outcomes are assessed through the approach to assessment; assessment methodologies may have been adapted for groups of students. | Some of the instructional outcomes are assessed through the proposed approach, but many are not. | Assessment procedures are not congruent with instructional outcomes. |
| Criteria and standards | Assessment criteria and standards are clear; there is evidence that the students contributed to their development. | Assessment criteria and standards are clear. | Assessment criteria and standards have been developed, but they are not clear. | Proposed approach contains no criteria or standards. |
| Design of formative assessments | Approach to using formative assessment is well designed and includes student as well as teacher use of the assessment information. | Teacher has a well-developed strategy to using formative assessment and has designed particular approaches to be used. | Approach to the use of formative assessment is rudimentary, including only some of the instructional outcomes. | Teacher has no plan to incorporate formative assessment in the lesson or unit. |

| | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Use for planning | Teacher plans to use assessment results to plan future instruction for individual students. | Teacher plans to use assessment results to plan for future instruction for groups of students. | Teacher plans to use assessment results to plan for future instruction for the class as a whole. | Teacher has no plans to use assessment results in designing future instruction. |
|-------------------------|---|--|--|---|

Domain 2: THE CLASSROOM ENVIRONMENT
 Component 2a: Creating an Environment of Respect and Rapport
Elements: Teacher interaction with students • Student interactions with other students

| ELEMENT / MDE CROSSWALK | L E V E L O F P E R F O R M A N C E | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| | (4) EXEMPLARY (Highly Effective) | (3) PROFICIENT (Effective) | (2) PROGRESSING (Effective) | (1) UNSATISFACTORY (Ineffective) |
| Teacher interaction with students | Teacher interactions with students reflect genuine respect and caring for individuals as well as groups of students. Students appear to trust the teacher with sensitive information. | Teacher-student interactions are friendly and demonstrate general caring and respect. Such interactions are appropriate to the age and cultures of the students. Students exhibit respect for the teacher. | Teacher-student interactions are generally appropriate but may reflect occasional inconsistencies, favoritism, or disregard for students' cultures. Students exhibit only minimal respect for the teacher. | Teacher interaction with at least some students is negative, demeaning, sarcastic, or inappropriate to the age or culture of the students. Students exhibit disrespect for the teacher. |
| Student interactions with other students | Students demonstrate genuine caring for one another and monitor one another's treatment of peers, correcting classmates respectfully when needed. | Student interactions are generally polite and respectful. | Students do not demonstrate disrespect for one another. | Student interactions are characterized by conflict, sarcasm, or put-downs. |

Domain 2: **THE CLASSROOM ENVIRONMENT**

Component 2b: Establishing a Culture for Learning

Elements: Importance of the content • Expectations for learning and achievement • Student pride in work

| ELEMENT / MDE CROSSWALK | L E V E L O F P E R F O R M A N C E | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|
| | (4) EXEMPLARY (Highly Effective) | (3) PROFICIENT (Effective) | (2) PROGRESSING (Effective) | (1) UNSATISFACTORY (Ineffective) |
| Importance of the content | Students demonstrate through their active participation, curiosity, and taking initiative that they value the importance of the content. | Teacher conveys genuine enthusiasm for the content, and students demonstrate consistent commitment to its value. | Teacher communicates importance of the work but with little conviction and only minimal apparent buy-in by the students. | Teacher or students convey a negative attitude toward the content, suggesting that it is not important or has been mandated by others. |
| Expectations for learning and achievement | Teacher interactions with students reflect genuine respect and caring for individuals as well as groups of students. Students appear to trust the teacher with sensitive information. | Teacher-student interactions are friendly and demonstrate general caring and respect. Such interactions are appropriate to the age and cultures of the students. Students exhibit respect for the teacher. | Teacher-student interactions are generally appropriate but may reflect occasional inconsistencies, favoritism, or disregard for students' cultures. Students exhibit only minimal respect for the teacher. | Teacher interaction with at least some students is negative, demeaning, sarcastic, or inappropriate to the age or culture of the students. Students exhibit disrespect for the teacher. |
| Student pride in work | Students demonstrate attention to detail and take obvious pride in their work, initiating improvements in it by, for example, revising drafts on their own or helping peers. | Students accept the teacher's insistence on work of high quality and demonstrate pride in that work. | | Students demonstrate little or no pride in their work. They seem to be motivated by the desire to complete a task rather than to do high-quality work. |

DOMAIN 2: THE CLASSROOM ENVIRONMENT

Component 2c: Managing Classroom Procedures

Elements: Management of instructional groups • Management of transitions • Management of materials and supplies •
Performance of non-instructional duties • Supervision of volunteers and paraprofessionals

| ELEMENT / MDE CROSSWALK | L E V E L O F P E R F O R M A N C E | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| | (4) EXEMPLARY (Highly Effective) | (3) PROFICIENT (Effective) | (2) PROGRESSING (Effective) | (1) UNSATISFACTORY (Ineffective) |
| Management of instructional groups | Small-group work is well organized, and students are productively engaged at all times, with students assuming responsibility for productivity. | Small-group work is well organized, and most students are productively engaged in learning while unsupervised by the teacher. | Students in only some groups are productively engaged in learning while unsupervised by the teacher. | Students not working with the teacher are not productively engaged in learning. |
| Management of transitions | Transitions are seamless, with students assuming responsibility in ensuring their efficient operation. | Transitions occur smoothly, with little loss of instructional time. | Only some transitions are efficient, resulting in some loss of instructional time. | Transitions are chaotic, with much time lost between activities or lesson segments. |
| Management of materials and supplies | Routines for handling materials and supplies are seamless, with students assuming some responsibility for smooth operation. | Routines for handling materials and supplies occur smoothly, with little loss of instructional time. | Routines for handling materials and supplies function moderately well, but with some loss of instructional time. | Materials and supplies are handled inefficiently, resulting in significant loss of instructional time. |

| | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| Performance of non-instructional duties | Systems for performing non-instructional duties are well established, with students assuming considerable responsibility for efficient operation. | Efficient systems for performing non-instructional duties are in place, resulting in minimal loss of instructional time. | Systems for performing non-instructional duties are only fairly efficient, resulting in some loss of instructional time. | Considerable instructional time is lost in performing non-instructional duties. |
| Supervision of volunteers and paraprofessionals | Volunteers and paraprofessionals make a substantive contribution to the classroom environment. | Volunteers and paraprofessionals are productively and independently engaged during the entire class. | Volunteers and paraprofessionals are productively engaged during portions of class time but require frequent supervision. | Volunteers and paraprofessionals have no clearly defined duties and are idle most of the time. |

DOMAIN 2: THE CLASSROOM ENVIRONMENT

Component 2d: Managing Student Behavior

Elements: Expectations • Monitoring of student behavior • Response to student misbehavior

| ELEMENT / MDE CROSSWALK | L E V E L O F P E R F O R M A N C E | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| | (4) EXEMPLARY (Highly Effective) | (3) PROFICIENT (Effective) | (2) PROGRESSING (Effective) | (1) UNSATISFACTORY (Ineffective) |
| Expectations | Standards of conduct are clear to all students and appear to have been developed with student participation. | Standards of conduct are clear to all students. | Standards of conduct appear to have been established, and most students seem to understand them. | No standards of conduct appear to have been established, or students are confused as to what the standards are. |
| Monitoring of student behavior | Monitoring by teacher is subtle and preventive. Students monitor their own and their peers' behavior, correcting one another respectfully. | Teacher is alert to student behavior at all times. | Teacher is generally aware of student behavior but may miss the activities of some students. | Student behavior is not monitored, and teacher is unaware of what the students are doing. |
| Response to student misbehavior | Teacher response to misbehavior is highly effective and sensitive to students' individual needs, or student behavior is entirely appropriate. | Teacher response to misbehavior is appropriate and successful and respects the student's dignity, or student behavior is generally appropriate. | Teacher attempts to respond to student misbehavior but with uneven results, or there are no major infractions of the rules. | Teacher does not respond to misbehavior, or the response is inconsistent, is overly repressive, or does not respect the student's dignity. |

DOMAIN 2: THE CLASSROOM ENVIRONMENT

Component 2e: Organizing Physical Space

Elements: Safety and accessibility • Arrangement of furniture and use of physical resources

| L E V E L O F P E R F O R M A N C E | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| ELEMENT / MDE CROSSWALK | (4) EXEMPLARY (Highly Effective) | (3) PROFICIENT (Effective) | (2) PROGRESSING (Effective) | (1) UNSATISFACTORY (Ineffective) |
| Safety and accessibility | The classroom is safe, and students themselves ensure that all learning is equally accessible to all students. | The classroom is safe, and learning is equally accessible to all students. | The classroom is safe, and at least essential learning is accessible to most students. | The classroom is unsafe, or learning is not accessible to some students. |

DOMAIN 3: INSTRUCTION

Component 3a: Communicating with Students

Elements: Expectations for learning • Directions and procedures • Explanations of content • Use of oral and written language

| ELEMENT / MDE CROSSWALK | L E V E L O F P E R F O R M A N C E | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | (4) EXEMPLARY (Highly Effective) | (3) PROFICIENT (Effective) | (2) PROGRESSING (Effective) | (1) UNSATISFACTORY (Ineffective) |
| Expectations for learning | Teacher makes the purpose of the lesson or unit clear, including where it is situated within broader learning, linking that purpose to student interests. | Teacher's purpose for the lesson or unit is clear, including where it is situated within broader learning. | Teacher attempts to explain the instructional purpose, with limited success. | Teacher's purpose in a lesson or unit is unclear to students. |
| Directions and procedures | Teacher's directions and procedures are clear to students and anticipate possible student misunderstanding. | Teacher's directions and procedures are clear to students. | Teacher's directions and procedures are clarified after initial student confusion. | Teacher's directions and procedures are confusing to students. |
| Explanations of content | Teacher's explanation of content is imaginative and connects with students' knowledge and experience. Students contribute to explaining concepts to their peers. | Teacher's explanation of content is appropriate and connects with students' knowledge and experience. | Teacher's explanation of the content is uneven; some is done skillfully, but other portions are difficult to follow. | Teacher's explanation of the content is unclear or confusing or uses inappropriate language. |

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| <p>Use of oral and written language</p> | <p>Teacher's spoken and written language is correct and conforms to standard English. It is also expressive, with well-chosen vocabulary that enriches the lesson. Teacher finds opportunities to extend students' vocabularies.</p> | <p>Teacher's spoken and written language is clear and correct and conforms to standard English. Vocabulary is appropriate to the students' ages and interests.</p> | <p>Teacher's spoken language is audible, and written language is legible. Both are used correctly and conform to standard English. Vocabulary is correct but limited or is not appropriate to the students' ages or backgrounds.</p> | <p>Teacher's spoken language is inaudible, or written language is illegible. Spoken or written language contains errors of grammar or syntax. Vocabulary may be inappropriate, vague, or used incorrectly, leaving students confused.</p> |
|--|--|--|--|---|

DOMAIN 3: INSTRUCTION

Component 3b: Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques

Elements: Quality of questions • Discussion techniques • Student participation

| L E V E L O F P E R F O R M A N C E | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| ELEMENT / MDE CROSSWALK | (4) EXEMPLARY (Highly Effective) | (3) PROFICIENT (Effective) | (2) PROGRESSING (Effective) | (1) UNSATISFACTORY (Ineffective) |
| Quality of questions | Teacher's questions are of uniformly high quality, with adequate time for students to respond. Students formulate many questions. | Most of the teacher's questions are of high quality. Adequate time is provided for students to respond. | Teacher's questions are a combination of low and high quality, posed in rapid succession. Only some invite a thoughtful response. | Teacher's questions are virtually all of poor quality, with low cognitive challenge and single correct responses, and they are asked in rapid succession. |
| Discussion techniques | Students assume considerable responsibility for the success of the discussion, initiating topics and making unsolicited contributions. | Teacher creates a genuine discussion among students, stepping aside when appropriate. | Teacher makes some attempt to engage students in genuine discussion rather than recitation, with uneven results. | Interaction between teacher and students is predominantly recitation style, with the teacher mediating all questions and answers. |
| Student participation | Students themselves ensure that all voices are heard in the discussion. | Teacher successfully engages all students in the discussion. | Teacher attempts to engage all students in the discussion, but with only limited success. | A few students dominate the discussion. |

DOMAIN 3: INSTRUCTION

Component 3c: Engaging Students in Learning

Elements: Activities and assignments • Grouping of students • Instructional materials and resources • Structure and pacing

| ELEMENT / MDE CROSSWALK | L E V E L O F P E R F O R M A N C E | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| | (4) EXEMPLARY (Highly Effective) | (3) PROFICIENT (Effective) | (2) PROGRESSING (Effective) | (1) UNSATISFACTORY (Ineffective) |
| Activities and assignments | All students are cognitively engaged in the activities and assignments in their exploration of content. Students initiate or adapt activities and projects to enhance their understanding. | Most activities and assignments are appropriate to students, and almost all students are cognitively engaged in exploring content. | Activities and assignments are appropriate to some students and engage them mentally, but others are not engaged. | Activities and assignments are inappropriate for students' age or background. Students are not mentally engaged in them. |
| Grouping of students | Instructional groups are productive and fully appropriate to the students or to the instructional purposes of the lesson. Students take the initiative to influence the formation or adjustment of instructional groups. | Instructional groups are productive and fully appropriate to the students or to the instructional purposes of the lesson. | Instructional groups are only partially appropriate to the students or only moderately successful in advancing the instructional outcomes of the lesson. | Instructional groups are inappropriate to the students or to the instructional outcomes. |
| Instructional materials and resources | Instructional materials and resources are suitable to the instructional purposes and engage students mentally. Students initiate the choice, adaptation, or creation of materials to enhance their learning. | Instructional materials and resources are suitable to the instructional purposes and engage students mentally. | Instructional materials and resources are only partially suitable to the instructional purposes, or students are only partially mentally engaged with them. | Instructional materials and resources are unsuitable to the instructional purposes or do not engage students mentally. |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Structure and pacing | The lesson's structure is highly coherent, allowing for reflection and closure. Pacing of the lesson is appropriate for all students. | The lesson has a clearly defined structure around which the activities are organized. Pacing of the lesson is generally appropriate. | The lesson has a recognizable structure, although it is not uniformly maintained throughout the lesson. Pacing of the lesson is inconsistent. | The lesson has no clearly defined structure, or the pace of the lesson is too slow or rushed, or both. |
|-----------------------------|---|--|---|--|

DOMAIN 3: INSTRUCTION

Component 3d: Using Assessment in Instruction

Elements: Assessment criteria • Monitoring of student learning • Feedback to students • Student self-assessment and monitoring of progress

| ELEMENT / MDE CROSSWALK | L E V E L O F P E R F O R M A N C E | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| | (4) EXEMPLARY (Highly Effective) | (3) PROFICIENT (Effective) | (2) PROGRESSING (Effective) | (1) UNSATISFACTORY (Ineffective) |
| Assessment criteria | Students are fully aware of the criteria and performance standards by which their work will be evaluated and have contributed to the development of the criteria. | Students are fully aware of the criteria and performance standards by which their work will be evaluated. | Students know some of the criteria and performance standards by which their work will be evaluated. | Students are not aware of the criteria and performance standards by which their work will be evaluated. |
| Monitoring of student learning | Teacher actively and systematically elicits diagnostic information from individual students regarding their understanding and monitors the progress of individual students. | Teacher monitors the progress of groups of students in the curriculum, making limited use of diagnostic prompts to elicit information. | Teacher monitors the progress of the class as a whole but elicits no diagnostic information. | Teacher does not monitor student learning in the curriculum. |
| Feedback to students | Teacher's feedback to students is timely and of consistently high quality, and students make use of the feedback in their learning. | Teacher's feedback to students is timely and of consistently high quality. | Teacher's feedback to students is uneven, and its timeliness is inconsistent. | Teacher's feedback to students is of poor quality and not provided in a timely manner. |

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| <p>Student self-assessment and monitoring of progress</p> | <p>Students not only frequently assess and monitor the quality of their own work against the assessment criteria and performance standards but also make active use of that information in their learning.</p> | <p>Students frequently assess and monitor the quality of their own work against the assessment criteria and performance standards.</p> | <p>Students occasionally assess the quality of their own work against the assessment criteria and performance standards.</p> | <p>Students do not engage in self-assessment or monitoring of progress.</p> |
|--|--|--|--|---|

DOMAIN 3: INSTRUCTION

Component 3e: Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness

Elements: Lesson adjustment • Response to students • Persistence

| L E V E L O F P E R F O R M A N C E | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| ELEMENT / MDE CROSSWALK | (4) EXEMPLARY (Highly Effective) | (3) PROFICIENT (Effective) | (2) PROGRESSING (Effective) | (1) UNSATISFACTORY (Ineffective) |
| Lesson adjustment | Teacher successfully makes a major adjustment to a lesson when needed. | Teacher makes a minor adjustment to a lesson, and the adjustment occurs smoothly. | Teacher attempts to adjust a lesson when needed, with only partially successful results. | Teacher adheres rigidly to an instructional plan, even when a change is clearly needed. |
| Response to students | Teacher seizes a major opportunity to enhance learning, building on student interests or a spontaneous event. | Teacher successfully accommodates students' questions or interests. | Teacher attempts to accommodate students' questions or interests, although the pacing of the lesson is disrupted. | Teacher ignores or brushes aside students' questions or interests. |
| Persistence | Teacher persists in seeking effective approaches for students who need help, using an extensive repertoire of strategies and soliciting additional resources from the school. | Teacher persists in seeking approaches for students who have difficulty learning, drawing on a broad repertoire of strategies. | Teacher accepts responsibility for the success of all students but has only a limited repertoire of instructional strategies to draw on. | When a student has difficulty learning, the teacher either gives up or blames the student or the student's home environment. |

DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES

Component 4a: Reflecting on Teaching

Elements: Accuracy • Use in future teaching

| L E V E L O F P E R F O R M A N C E | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| ELEMENT / MDE CROSSWALK | (4) EXEMPLARY (Highly Effective) | (3) PROFICIENT (Effective) | (2) PROGRESSING (Effective) | (1) UNSATISFACTORY (Ineffective) |
| Accuracy | Teacher makes a thoughtful and accurate assessment of a lesson's effectiveness and the extent to which it achieved its instructional outcomes, citing many specific examples from the lesson and weighing the relative strengths of each. | Teacher makes an accurate assessment of a lesson's effectiveness and the extent to which it achieved its instructional outcomes and can cite general references to support the judgment. | Teacher has a generally accurate impression of a lesson's effectiveness and the extent to which instructional outcomes were met. | Teacher does not know whether a lesson was effective or achieved its instructional outcomes, or teacher profoundly misjudges the success of a lesson. |
| Use in future teaching | Drawing on an extensive repertoire of skills, teacher offers specific alternative actions, complete with the probable success of different courses of action. | Teacher makes a few specific suggestions of what could be tried another time the lesson is taught. | Teacher makes general suggestions about how a lesson could be improved another time the lesson is taught. | Teacher has no suggestions for how a lesson could be improved another time the lesson is taught. |

DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES

Component 4b: Maintaining Accurate Records

Elements: Student completion of assignments • Student progress in learning • Non-instructional records

| L E V E L O F P E R F O R M A N C E | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| ELEMENT / MDE CROSSWALK | (4) EXEMPLARY (Highly Effective) | (3) PROFICIENT (Effective) | (2) PROGRESSING (Effective) | (1) UNSATISFACTORY (Ineffective) |
| Student completion of assignments | Teacher's system for maintaining information on student completion of assignments is fully effective. Students participate in maintaining the records. | Teacher's system for maintaining information on student completion of assignments is fully effective. | Teacher's system for maintaining information on student completion of assignments is rudimentary and only partially effective. | Teacher's system for maintaining information on student completion of assignments is in disarray. |
| Student progress in learning | Teacher's system for maintaining information on student progress in learning is fully effective. Students contribute information and participate in interpreting the records. | Teacher's system for maintaining information on student progress in learning is fully effective. | Teacher's system for maintaining information on student progress in learning is rudimentary and only partially effective. | Teacher has no system for maintaining information on student progress in learning, or the system is in disarray. |
| Non-instructional records | Teacher's system for maintaining information on non-instructional activities is highly effective, and students contribute to its maintenance. | Teacher's system for maintaining information on non-instructional activities is fully effective. | Teacher's records for non-instructional activities are adequate, but they require frequent monitoring to avoid errors. | Teacher's records for non-instructional activities are in disarray, resulting in errors and confusion. |

DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES

Component 4c: Communicating with Families

Elements: Information about the instructional program • Information about individual students • Engagement of families in the instructional program

| ELEMENT / MDE CROSSWALK | L E V E L O F P E R F O R M A N C E | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| | (4) EXEMPLARY (Highly Effective) | (3) PROFICIENT (Effective) | (2) PROGRESSING (Effective) | (1) UNSATISFACTORY (Ineffective) |
| Information about the instructional program | Teacher provides frequent information to families, as appropriate, about the instructional program. Students participate in preparing materials for their families. | Teacher provides frequent information to families, as appropriate, about the instructional program. | Teacher participates in the school's activities for family communication but offers little additional information. | Teacher provides little or no information about the instructional program to families. |
| Information about individual students | Teacher provides information to families frequently on student progress, with students contributing to the design of the system. Response to family concerns is handled with great professional and cultural sensitivity. | Teacher communicates with families about students' progress on a regular basis, respecting cultural norms, and is available as needed to respond to family concerns. | Teacher adheres to the school's required procedures for communicating with families. Responses to family concerns are minimal or may reflect occasional insensitivity to cultural norms. | Teacher provides minimal information to families about individual students, or the communication is inappropriate to the cultures of the families. Teacher does not respond, or responds insensitively, to family concerns about students. |
| Engagement of families in the instructional program | Teacher's efforts to engage families in the instructional program are frequent and successful. Students contribute ideas for projects that could be enhanced by family participation. | Teacher's efforts to engage families in the instructional program are frequent and successful. | Teacher makes modest and partially successful attempts to engage families in the instructional program. | Teacher makes no attempt to engage families in the instructional program, or such efforts are inappropriate. |

DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES

Component 4d: Participating in a Professional Community

Elements: Relationships with colleagues • Involvement in a culture of professional inquiry • Service to the school • Participation in school and district projects

| ELEMENT / MDE CROSSWALK | L E V E L O F P E R F O R M A N C E | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| | (4) EXEMPLARY (Highly Effective) | (3) PROFICIENT (Effective) | (2) PROGRESSING (Effective) | (1) UNSATISFACTORY (Ineffective) |
| Relationships with colleagues | Relationships with colleagues are characterized by mutual support and cooperation. Teacher takes initiative in assuming leadership among the faculty. | Relationships with colleagues are characterized by mutual support and cooperation. | Teacher maintains cordial relationships with colleagues to fulfill duties that the school or district requires. | Teacher's relationships with colleagues are negative or self-serving. |
| Service to the school | Teacher volunteers to participate in school events, making a substantial contribution, and assumes a leadership role in at least one aspect of school life. | Teacher volunteers to participate in school events, making a substantial contribution. | Teacher participates in school events when specifically asked. | Teacher avoids becoming involved in school events. |
| Participation in school and district projects | Teacher volunteers to participate in school and district projects, making a substantial contribution, and assumes a leadership role in a major school or district project. | Teacher volunteers to participate in school and district projects, making a substantial contribution. | Teacher participates in school and district projects when specifically asked. | Teacher avoids becoming involved in school and district projects. |

DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES

Component 4e: Growing and Developing Professionally

Elements: Enhancement of content knowledge and pedagogical skill • Receptivity to feedback from colleagues • Service to the profession

| L E V E L O F P E R F O R M A N C E | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| ELEMENT / MDE CROSSWALK | (4) EXEMPLARY (Highly Effective) | (3) PROFICIENT (Effective) | (2) PROGRESSING (Effective) | (1) UNSATISFACTORY (Ineffective) |
| Enhancement of content knowledge and pedagogical skill | Teacher seeks out opportunities for professional development and makes a systematic effort to conduct action research. | Teacher seeks out opportunities for professional development to enhance content knowledge and pedagogical skill. | Teacher participates in professional activities to a limited extent when they are convenient. | Teacher engages in no professional development activities to enhance knowledge or skill. |
| Receptivity to feedback from colleagues | Teacher seeks out feedback on teaching from both supervisors and colleagues. | Teacher welcomes feedback from colleagues when made by supervisors or when opportunities arise through professional collaboration. | Teacher accepts, with some reluctance, feedback on teaching performance from both supervisors and professional colleagues. | Teacher resists feedback on teaching performance from either supervisors or more experienced colleagues. |
| Service to the profession | Teacher initiates important activities to contribute to the profession. | Teacher participates actively in assisting other educators. | Teacher finds limited ways to contribute to the profession. | Teacher makes no effort to share knowledge with others or to assume professional responsibilities. |

DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES

Component 4f: Showing Professionalism

Elements: Integrity and ethical conduct • Service to students • Advocacy • Decision making • Compliance with school and district regulations

| ELEMENT / MDE CROSSWALK | L E V E L O F P E R F O R M A N C E | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | (4) EXEMPLARY (Highly Effective) | (3) PROFICIENT (Effective) | (2) PROGRESSING (Effective) | (1) UNSATISFACTORY (Ineffective) |
| Integrity and ethical conduct | Teacher can be counted on to hold the highest standards of honesty, integrity, and confidentiality and takes a leadership role with colleagues. | Teacher displays high standards of honesty, integrity, and confidentiality in interactions with colleagues, students, and the public. | Teacher is honest in interactions with colleagues, students, and the public. | Teacher displays dishonesty in interactions with colleagues, students, and the public. |
| Service to students | Teacher is highly proactive in serving students, seeking out resources when needed. | Teacher is active in serving students. | Teacher's attempts to serve students are inconsistent. | Teacher is not alert to students' needs. |
| Advocacy | Teacher makes a concerted effort to challenge negative attitudes or practices to ensure that all students, particularly those traditionally underserved, are honored in the school. | Teacher works to ensure that all students receive a fair opportunity to succeed. | Teacher does not knowingly contribute to some students being ill served by the school. | Teacher contributes to school practices that result in some students being ill served by the school. |

DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES

Component 4f: Showing Professionalism *(continued)*

Elements: Integrity and ethical conduct • Service to students • Advocacy • Decision making • Compliance with school and district regulations

| ELEMENT / MDE CROSSWALK | L E V E L O F P E R F O R M A N C E | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| | (4) EXEMPLARY (Highly Effective) | (3) PROFICIENT (Effective) | (2) PROGRESSING (Effective) | (1) UNSATISFACTORY (Ineffective) |
| Decision making | Teacher takes a leadership role in team or departmental decision making and helps ensure that such decisions are based on the highest professional standards. | Teacher maintains an open mind and participates in team or departmental decision making. | Teacher's decisions and recommendations are based on limited though genuinely professional considerations. | Teacher makes decisions and recommendations based on self-serving interests. |
| Compliance with school and district regulations | Teacher complies fully with school and district regulations, taking a leadership role with colleagues. | Teacher complies fully with school and district regulations. | Teacher complies minimally with school and district regulations, doing just enough to get by. | Teacher does not comply with school and district regulations. |

Appendix D.

Teacher Summative Evaluation JKL Bahweting School

Teacher Name: _____ Grade Level: _____

Administrator/Supervisor: _____ Date: _____

| Domain 1. Planning and Preparation | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|
| Component Score: | (4) EXEMPLARY (Highly Effective) | (3) PROFICIENT (Effective) | (2) PROGRESSING (Effective) | (1) UNSATISFACTORY (Ineffective) |
| 1a. Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Pedagogy-- | Extensive knowledge | Solid knowledge | Familiarity | Content errors |
| 1b: Demonstrating Knowledge of Students and Learning-- | Extensive knowledge | Accurate/current. knowledge | Limited/outdated knowledge | No knowledge/value |
| 1c: Selecting Instructional Goals-- | All represent high expectations | Most represent high expectations | Most represent moderately high expectations | Most represent low expectations |
| 1d: Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources-- | Extensive knowledge resources in/outside of school | Awareness of resources available in/outside of school | Awareness of resources available in school | Unawareness of resources available for students |
| 1e: Designing Coherent Instruction-- | Highly suitable to diverse learners/ instructional outcomes. | Suitable to diverse learners/instructional outcomes | Some suitable to diverse learners/instructional outcomes | Not suitable to diverse learners/instructional outcomes |
| 1f: Assessing Student Learning-- | Fully aligned/adapted/utilized to support instructional outcomes | Most aligned/adapted/utilized to support instructional outcomes | Some aligned/adapted/utilized to support instructional outcomes | Not aligned/adapted/utilized to support instructional outcomes |
| Notes: | | | | |
| Total Score for Domain 1: | | | | |

| Domain 2. The Classroom Environment | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| 2a: Creating an Environment of Respect and Rapport-- | Interactions reflect genuine respect/caring | Interactions reflect general caring/respect | Interactions reflect appropriate respect/caring & occasional inconsistencies | Some interactions with students reflect negativity/disrespect |
| 2b: Establishing a Culture for Learning-- | Students take pride in producing quality work | Most students take pride in producing quality work | Some students take pride in producing quality work | No students take pride in producing quality work. |
| 2c: Managing Classroom Procedures-- | Routines are seamless, with students assuming some responsibility for smooth operation | Routines occur smoothly, with little loss of instructional time | Routines function moderately well, but with some loss of instructional time | Routines are handled inefficiently, resulting in significant loss of instructional time |
| 2d: Managing Student Behavior-- | All student behavior management is subtle/preventive/respectful | Most student behavior management is subtle/preventive/respectful | Some student behavior managing is subtle/preventive/respectful but teacher may miss the activities of some students. | Student behavior is not monitored, and teacher is unaware of what the students are doing. |
| Notes: | | | | |
| Total Score for Domain 2: | | | | |

| Domain 3. Instruction | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| 3a: Communicating Clearly and Accurately-- | Content explanation is imaginative/ connects with students' knowledge/experience. | Content explanation is appropriate/ connects with students' knowledge/experience. | Content explanation is uneven; some is done skillfully, but other portions are difficult to follow. | Content explanation is unclear or confusing or uses inappropriate language. |
| 3b: Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques-- | Questions are of high quality, with adequate time for students to respond. | Most questions are of high quality with adequate time for students to respond. | Questions are a combination of low and high quality, posed in rapid succession. | Questions are all of poor quality, with low cognitive challenge/ single correct responses/asked in rapid succession. |
| 3c: Engaging Students in Learning-- | All students are cognitively engaged in activities/assignments in their exploration of content. | Most activities/ assignments are appropriate to students, and almost all students are cognitively engaged in exploring content. | Activities and assignments are appropriate to some students and engage them mentally, but others are not engaged. | Activities and assignments are inappropriate for students' age or background. Students are not mentally engaged in them. |
| 3d: Providing Feedback to Students-- | Teacher systematically elicits diagnostic information from individual students regarding their understanding and monitors the progress of individual students. | Teacher monitors the progress of groups of students in the curriculum, making limited use of diagnostic prompts to elicit information. | Teacher monitors the progress of the class as a whole but elicits no diagnostic information. | Teacher does not monitor student learning in the curriculum. |
| 3e: Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness-- | Teacher successfully makes a major adjustment to a lesson when needed. | Teacher makes a minor adjustment to a lesson, and the adjustment occurs smoothly. | Teacher attempts to adjust a lesson when needed, with only partially successful results. | Teacher adheres rigidly to an instructional plan, even when a change is clearly needed. |
| Notes: | | | | |
| Total Score for Domain 3: | | | | |

| Domain 4. Professional Responsibilities | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| 4a: Reflecting on Teaching— | Teacher makes accurate assessment of lesson's effectiveness/citing many specific examples. | Teacher makes an accurate assessment of a lesson's effectiveness/can cite general references to support the judgment. | Teacher has a generally accurate impression of a lesson's effectiveness. | Teacher does not know whether a lesson was effective or teacher profoundly misjudges the success of a lesson. |
| 4b: Maintaining Accurate Records-- | System for maintaining information is fully effective. Students help in maintaining the records. | System for maintaining information on student completion of assignments is fully effective. | System for maintaining information on student completion of assignments is rudimentary and only partially effective. | System for maintaining information on student completion of assignments is in disarray. |
| 4c: Communication with Families | Teacher provides frequent information to families about the instructional program. Students participate in preparing materials. | Teacher provides frequent information to families, as appropriate, about the instructional program. | Teacher participates in the school's activities for family communication but offers little additional information. | Teacher provides little or no information about the instructional program to families. |
| 4e. Showing Professionalism | Teacher seeks out opportunities for professional development/does action research. | Teacher seeks out opportunities for professional development. | Teacher participates in professional activities to a limited extent when they are convenient. | Teacher engages in no professional development activities to enhance knowledge or skill. |
| Notes: | | | | |
| Total Score for Domain 4: | | Overall Performance Score: | | |

Evaluator's Signature: _____

Employee's Signature: _____

Appendix E:

**JKL Bahweting School
Pre-observation Form**

Teacher Name: _____

Grade Level: _____

Curriculum/Subject Observed _____

Administrator/Supervisor: _____

Date Preconference form submitted: _____

*** Please attach lesson plan/matrix (circle on matrix the major focus)

1. Briefly describe the students in the class, including those with special needs. (component 1b)

2. Briefly describe the goals of the lesson/what you want students to learn. (component 1c)

3. Why are these goals suitable for this group of students? (component 1c)

4. How do you plan to engage the students in the content?

| | |
|---|--|
| | |
| 5. How do you plan to assess student achievement of these goals? (component 1f) | 6. How do you plan to use the results of the assessment? |
| Teacher comments: | |

Appendix F:

**JKL Bahweting School
Lesson Observation Report**

Teacher Name: _____

Grade Level: _____

Curriculum/Subject Observed: _____

Date of Observation: _____

Planned: _____ Unplanned: _____

Administrator/Supervisor: _____

| Domain 1. Planning and Preparation | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory |
|---|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 1a. Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Pedagogy | | |
| 1b. Demonstrating Knowledge of Students | | |
| 1c. Selecting Instructional Goals | | |
| 1d. Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources | | |
| 1e. Designing Coherent Instruction | | |
| 1f. Assessing Student Learning | | |
| Notes: | | |
| Domain 2. The Classroom Environment | | |
| 2a. Creating an Environment of Respect and Rapport | | |
| 2b. Establishing a Culture for Learning | | |
| 2c. Managing Classroom Procedures | | |
| 2d. Managing Student Behavior | | |
| Notes: | | |

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| Domain 3. Instruction | | |
| 3a: Communicating Clearly and Accurately | | |
| 3b: Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques | | |
| 3c: Engaging Students in Learning | | |
| 3d: Providing Feedback to Students | | |
| 3e: Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness | | |
| Notes: | | |
| Domain 4. Professional Responsibilities | | |
| 4a: Reflecting on Teaching | | |
| 4b: Maintaining Accurate Records | | |
| 4c: Communication with Families | | |
| 4e. Showing Professionalism | | |
| Notes: | | |

Lesson Objective: (enter here)

Lesson Description: (enter here)

Observed Strengths: (enter here)

Recommendations for Improvement: (enter here)

Other Comments/Suggestions: (enter here)

Teacher Comments/Reflection attached (optional) yes _____ no _____

**Teacher Signature: _____ Date: _____

Administrator/Supervisor: _____ Date: _____

**Signature indicates only that the teacher has read this completed form.

Appendix G:

| JKL Bahweting School Observation Reflection Form | |
|--|------------------|
| Teacher Name: _____ | Planned: _____ |
| Grade Level: _____ | Unplanned: _____ |
| Curriculum/Subject Observed: _____ | |
| Administrator/Supervisor: _____ | |
| Observation Date: _____ | |
| Post Conference Date: _____ | |
| 1. As I reflect on the lesson, to what extent were students productively engaged? (component 4a, 1e, 3c) | |
| 2. Did the students learn what I intended? Were my instructional goals met? How do I know? (component 1f, 4a) | |
| 3. Did I alter my goals or instructional plan as I taught the lesson? If so, why? (component 1e, 3e) | |
| 4. If I have the opportunity to teach this lesson again to this same group or students, what would I do differently? Why? (component 4a) | |

5. Provide 3 or more pieces of evidence of student growth (if applicable) from this lesson. This work should reflect the full range of student ability in your class and include feedback you provide to students on the work.

Teacher Signature/date _____

Administrator/Supervisor/date _____

Appendix H: JKL Bahweting School Supervision and Evaluation Cycle

Annual evaluation: Evaluation will consist of the development of an IPGP, review of student achievement from multiple of sources, and review of Professional Contributions/Professional Activities.

New Hires:

New teachers will be assigned a mentor and evaluated using the current process in place. An IPGP will be developed over the course of the year.

Pre/post conference for planned; post conference for unplanned and Summative Evaluation

September-October: Planned observation (Lesson Observation Report)

November-December: Unplanned observation (Lesson Observation Report)

January: Summative Evaluation of Indicators of Professional Practice

January-February: Planned observation (Lesson Observation Report)

March-April: Unplanned observation (Lesson Observation Report)

May: Summative Evaluation of Indicators of Professional Practice

Year 2 Teachers:

In the second year of employment as a teacher, a mentor will be assigned and an IPGP will be developed in the fall. The following evaluation process would be utilized:

September-Dec: Planned observation (Lesson Observation Report)

January-April: Unplanned observation (Lesson Observation Report)

May: Summative Evaluation of Indicators of Professional Practice

Meeting to discuss the IPGP

Year 3 Teachers:

In the third year as a teacher, a mentor will be assigned and an IDP will be developed in the fall. The following evaluation process would be utilized:

On-going: (1-6) (Lesson Observation Report)

On-going: Meeting to discuss the IPGP

Veteran Staff:

Veteran staff fall into two categories:

- a. Formal evaluation: Veteran staff will develop an IPGP annually. A three year evaluation cycle will be used and will consist of the following:

September-Dec: Planned observation (Lesson Observation Report)

January-April: Unplanned observation (Lesson Observation Report)

May: Summative Evaluation of Indicators of Professional Practice

Meeting to discuss the IPGP

b. Veteran Staff not scheduled for formal evaluation will follow the process as outlined to the left.

Implementation of an IPGP

Review of student achievement data from multiple sources.

Professional Contributions/Professional Activities

**Teachers have the option to request for formal evaluation, per the year-two teacher process, providing their supervisor in writing by October 1.

Appendix I:

**The Leadership Performance Matrix
JKL Bahweting Anishnabe PSA**

Source: Adapted from Assessing Educational Leaders: Evaluation Performance for Improved Individual and Organizational Results, pp. 105-128, Douglas Reeves, Corwin Press, 2004

| CROSSWALK: | Highly Effective | Effective | Effective | Ineffective |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| <i>Leadership Dimension</i> | <i>Exemplary (4)</i> | <i>Proficient (3)</i> | <i>Progressing (2)</i> | <i>Unsatisfactory (1)</i> |
| 1.0 Resilience | | | | |
| 1.1 Willingness to admit error and learn from it | Share case studies of personal and organizational errors in a way that is used to guide, inspire, and teach colleagues throughout the organization. Builds resilience in colleagues and throughout organization by habitually highlighting and praising “good mistakes” where risks were taken, mistakes were made, lessons were learned, and both the individual and the organization learned for the future. | Admits failures quickly, honestly, and openly with direct supervisor and immediate colleagues. Evidence of learning from past errors. Non defensive attitude in accepting feedback and discussing errors and failures to learning from mistakes. | Able to accept evidence of mistakes when offered by others. Some evidence of learning from mistakes. | Unwilling to acknowledge errors. When confronted with evidence of mistakes, is defensive and resistant |

| CROSSWALK: | Highly Effective | Effective | Effective | Ineffective |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| <i>Leadership Dimension</i> | <i>Exemplary (4)</i> | <i>Proficient (3)</i> | <i>Progressing (2)</i> | <i>Unsatisfactory (1)</i> |
| 1.2 Constructively handles disagreement with leadership/ policy decisions and dissent from subordinates | In disagreements with policy/leadership decisions and dissent from subordinates, is able to articulate the disagreement and advocate for a point of view based on the best interests of the organization. Once the decision is made, fully supports and enthusiastically implements organizational policy and leadership decisions. Recognizes and rewards thoughtful dissent. | Leader uses dissent to inform final decisions, improve the quality of decision making, and broaden support for final decisions. | Sometimes challenges leadership decisions or subordinate dissent without bringing those concerns to appropriate executive and policy authorities. Sometimes implements unpopular policies unenthusiastically or because “I’m just following orders, but I don’t like it.” | Ignores or subverts executive and policy decisions or dissent from subordinates that are unpopular or distasteful. |

| CROSSWALK: | Highly Effective | Effective | Effective | Ineffective |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| <i>Leadership Dimension</i> | <i>Exemplary (4)</i> | <i>Proficient (3)</i> | <i>Progressing (2)</i> | <i>Unsatisfactory (1)</i> |
| 1.3 Explicit improvement of specific performance areas based on the previous leadership evaluation | Previous evaluations are explicitly reflected into projects, tasks, and priorities. Performance on each evaluation reflects specific and measurable improvements along the performance continuum from ineffective, to progressing, to proficient, to exemplary. | Previous evaluations are combined with personal reflection and feedback to improve performance. | Leader is aware of previous evaluations, but has not translated them into an action plan. | No evidence of reference to previous leadership evaluations in the leader's choices of tasks and priorities. |
| 2.0 Personal Behavior | | | | |
| 2.1 Integrity in meeting commitments | The leader meets commitments or negotiates exceptions where the commitment cannot be met. Verbal commitments have the same weight as written commitments. | The leader almost always meets commitments or negotiates exceptions where the commitment cannot be met. Verbal commitments have the same weight as written commitments. | The leader meets explicit written commitments. The need to "get it in writing" does not allow subordinates or superiors to make assumptions that verbal statements have the weight of a commitment. | The words "I'm working on it" or "I'm doing the best I can" are regarded as acceptable substitutes for commitments. This leader cannot be trusted to follow through with tasks, budgets, priorities, or performance. |
| 2.2 Emotional self-control | The leader possesses complete self-control, even in the most difficult and confrontational situation, but also provides assistance to colleagues on the techniques of emotional intelligence. The leader | The leader can deal with sensitive subjects and personal attacks with dignity and self-control. The leader rarely meets anger with anger, but | Occasional raised voice when angry or threatened, leading to a climate in which people are reluctant to raise sensitive issues. | Loses temper and emotionally unstable. Conversations on any sensitive topic are brief or nonexistent. |

| CROSSWALK: | Highly Effective | Effective | Effective | Ineffective |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| <i>Leadership Dimension</i> | <i>Exemplary (4)</i> | <i>Proficient (3)</i> | <i>Progressing (2)</i> | <i>Unsatisfactory (1)</i> |
| | serves as a model for emotional intelligence for staff. | defuses confrontational situation with emotional intelligence, empathy, and respect. | | |
| 2.3 Compliance with legal and ethical requirements in relationships with employees/students | Meets the letter and spirit of the law, avoiding both the fact and appearance of impropriety. Inculcates the foundations of mutual respect for colleagues/students and for the law throughout the organization. | No instances of illegal or unethical conduct with employees, prospective employees, students or other conduct that crosses the line of policy or law. | There is no “progressing” in this category – one strike and you’re out. Failing to be proficient is the same as being ineffective. | Violates – even just one time – the legal and policy requirements for the relationship between leaders and employees/students. |
| 2.4 Tolerance of different points of view within the boundaries of the values and mission of the organization | Actively seeks differences in perspective, encouraging different scenarios and curricula in the context of academic standards. Explicitly differentiates divergent thinking when it is constructive and facilitates a transition to convergent thinking to support organizational goals. | Focuses evaluation on the achievement of mission and adherence to values, without penalizing differences in points of view that are within the framework of organizational requirements | Accepts alternative points of view, but little or no development or encouragement of those views. | Suppresses other points of view and discourages disagreement or divergent thinking. |

| CROSSWALK: | Highly Effective | Effective | Effective | Ineffective |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| <i>Leadership Dimension</i> | <i>Exemplary (4)</i> | <i>Proficient (3)</i> | <i>Progressing (2)</i> | <i>Unsatisfactory (1)</i> |

3.0 Decision Making

| | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| 3.1 Factual basis for decisions, including specific reference to internal and external data on student achievement and objective data on curriculum, teaching practices, and leadership practices. | Decision making is neither by consensus nor by leadership mandate, but consistently based on the data. This adherence to the rule of data is reflected in all decisions, ranging from course and classroom assignments to the discontinuation of programs. The leader can cite specific examples of practices that have been changed, discontinued, and initiated based on data analysis. A variety of data sources, including qualitative and quantitative, are used. Data sources include state, district, school, and classroom. Inferences from data are shared regularly outside the school community in order to share the analysis and replicate the success of this school leader. | The records of decision making reflect a clear reliance on state and district student achievement data. | Some decisions are based on data, but others are the result of personal preference and tradition. | Data are rarely used for decisions and the predominant decision-making methodology is either a popularity context or an imperial mandate from the leader. |
| 3.2 Decisions evaluated for effectiveness and revised where | The leader can provide clear and consistent evidence of decisions that have been changed based on new data. | The leader has a record of evaluating and revising decisions based on new information. | The leader has new information and appears to be willing to reconsider previous decisions, but does not have a clear record of making | The leader is mired in old decisions, accumulating each one as if decisions were etched in stone. |

| CROSSWALK: | Highly Effective | Effective | Effective | Ineffective |
|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------|------------------------|--|
| <i>Leadership Dimension</i> | <i>Exemplary (4)</i> | <i>Proficient (3)</i> | <i>Progressing (2)</i> | <i>Unsatisfactory (1)</i> |
| necessary | <p>The leader has a regular pattern of decision reviews in which previous decisions are reevaluated in light of the most current data.</p> <p>There is a culture of “honesty in sharing bad news” in which the leader and everyone in the organization can discuss what is not working without fear of embarrassment or reprisal.</p> | | changes | There is little or no evidence of reflection and reevaluation of previous decisions. |

4.0 Communication

| | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| 4.1 Two-way communication with students, staff, parents, and community. | In addition to all of the “proficient” characteristics, the leader goes to exceptional lengths to listen to students, staff, parents, and community members. The listening strategies may include focus groups, surveys, advisory committees, and numerous one-to-one conversations. Discussions with stakeholders reveal that they know that the leader will listen to them and treat them with respect. | The leader knows stakeholder names, regularly greets students by name, and is proactive in talking with and listening to stakeholders. The leader is particularly visible at the beginning and end of the school day and during all other times when stakeholders are present. | The leader knows most stakeholder names, is visible and often greets stakeholders by name, and talks with them frequently. | <p>The leader does not know stakeholders names, avoids contact except where leadership presence is required, and retreats to the office during most occasions where stakeholders are likely to be present.</p> <p>Many stakeholders do not know the leader’s name or recognize the leader on sight.</p> |
|---|---|--|--|---|

| CROSSWALK: | Highly Effective | Effective | Effective | Ineffective |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| <i>Leadership Dimension</i> | <i>Exemplary (4)</i> | <i>Proficient (3)</i> | <i>Progressing (2)</i> | <i>Unsatisfactory (1)</i> |
| 5.0 Faculty Development | | | | |
| 5.1 Understanding of faculty proficiencies and needs for further development | <p>In addition to the “proficient” criteria, the leader has also demonstrated a record of tailor-made professional development opportunities linked to the needs of each staff member, including certified and noncertified staff.</p> <p>The leader personally participates in professional development to demonstrate a commitment to lifelong learning. The leader shares professional development opportunities with other schools, departments, districts, and organizations as the need arises.</p> | The leader has created individual learning plans for each member of the instructional staff, and professional development activities reflect the prioritized needs on these plans. | The leader is aware of differentiated needs of faculty and staff members, and there are a few instances of differentiated professional development. | Professional development is typically “one size fits all” and there is little or no evidence of recognition of individual faculty needs. |
| 5.2 Formal and informal feedback to colleagues with the exclusive purpose of improving individual and organizational performance | <p>The leader possesses all the attributes of “proficient” performance and also uses creative ways of feedback. Examples are consistent nomination of employees for recognition and awards, letters of commendation, and personal messages of admiration.</p> <p>The entire organization reflects the</p> | <p>The leader provides formal feedback consistent with the district personnel policies and provides informal feedback to reinforce good performance and highlight the strengths of colleagues.</p> <p>Feedback is explicitly</p> | <p>The leader adheres to the personnel policies in providing formal feedback, although the feedback is only occasionally used to improve organizational performance.</p> <p>The leader rarely shares learning experiences with other leaders and colleagues</p> | <p>Formal feedback is formulaic and unspecific.</p> <p>Informal feedback is rare and more likely to be associated with negative than positive behavior.</p> <p>The leader never shares learning experiences with other</p> |

| CROSSWALK: | Highly Effective | Effective | Effective | Ineffective |
|-----------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|--|
| <i>Leadership Dimension</i> | <i>Exemplary (4)</i> | <i>Proficient (3)</i> | <i>Progressing (2)</i> | <i>Unsatisfactory (1)</i> |
| | <p>leader's relentlessly positive reinforcement, and performance by individuals and the organization reflects the leader's focus on recognition that is accurate, timely, and specific.</p> <p>The leader balances individual recognition with team and organization-wide recognition.</p> <p>The leader routinely shares learning experiences with other leaders and colleagues throughout the system.</p> | <p>linked to organizational goals and both the leader and employees can cite examples of where feedback if used to improve individual and organizational performance.</p> <p>The leader often shares learning experiences with other leaders and colleagues throughout the system.</p> | <p>throughout the system.</p> | <p>leaders and colleagues throughout the system.</p> |

6.0 Leadership Development

| | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| 6.1 Strong assistant administrators who are capable of immediately assuming leadership responsibilities in this school or other buildings and identification of potential future leaders | <p>The leader has assistant administrators who are ready to assume leadership responsibilities, and the leader has already established a track record of placing former mentees in positions of leadership.</p> <p>The leader continues to provide guidance and mentorship to new, developing, and emerging leaders even when they are outside of the</p> | <p>The leader has personally trained at least one assistant administrator who is capable of replacing the leader today.</p> | <p>The leader provides some training to an assistant administrator who may, in time, be capable of independently assuming a leadership role.</p> | <p>The other administrators under the leader's direction are not capable of assuming additional responsibilities and there does not appear to be a coherent and consistent leadership training program in place.</p> |
|--|---|---|--|--|

| CROSSWALK: | Highly Effective | Effective | Effective | Ineffective |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| <i>Leadership Dimension</i> | <i>Exemplary (4)</i> | <i>Proficient (3)</i> | <i>Progressing (2)</i> | <i>Unsatisfactory (1)</i> |
| | <p>leader's personal span of leadership.</p> <p>Multiple leaders throughout the system cite this leader as a mentor and reason for their success.</p> | | | |
| 6.2 Evidence of delegation and trust in subordinate leaders | <p>People throughout the organization are empowered in formal and informal ways.</p> <p>Faculty members participate in the facilitation of meetings and exercise leadership in committees and task forces; other employees, including noncertified staff, and assume leadership roles where appropriate. The climate of trust and delegation in this organization contributes directly to the identification and empowerment of the next generation of leadership.</p> | <p>There is a clear pattern of delegated decisions, with authority to match responsibility at every level in the organization.</p> <p>There is a relationship of authority and responsibility at every level in the organization.</p> <p>There is a relationship of authority and responsibility, and delegation of authority is clear in personnel documents, such as evaluations, and also in the daily conduct of meetings and organizational business.</p> | The leader sometimes delegates, but also maintains decision-making authority that could be delegated to others. | The leader reserves almost all decision-making authority, even on immaterial matters. Subordinates are unwilling or unable to exercise independent judgment. |

| CROSSWALK: | Highly Effective | Effective | Effective | Ineffective |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| <i>Leadership Dimension</i> | <i>Exemplary (4)</i> | <i>Proficient (3)</i> | <i>Progressing (2)</i> | <i>Unsatisfactory (1)</i> |
| 7.0 Time/Task/Project Management | | | | |
| 7.1 Choices for time management reflect a focus on the most important priorities | <p>The priorities of the organization and this leader's task list create a mirror image.</p> <p>By looking at this leader's calendar and prioritized task list, one would know and understand the priorities of the organization. The leader not only removes diversions and obstacles from his or her own task list, but also helps to focus the entire organization in the right way by carefully matching tasks to priorities.</p> | <p>The priorities of the organization and the priorities on the task list are closely matched.</p> <p>The leader regularly removes tasks, or delegates tasks, where there is an insufficient link between the task and the leader's and organization's priorities.</p> | <p>The leader is aware of organizational priorities, but the daily emergencies frequently seem to intrude into a focus on the priorities.</p> | <p>The leader is unaware of or indifferent to organizational priorities. The task list, if it exists, is more about putting out fires than about implementing organizational priorities.</p> |
| 7.2 Complex projects have clear objectives and coherent plans | <p>In addition to meeting all of the criteria for proficient project management, the leader also uses project management as a teaching device, helping others in the organization understand the interrelationship of complex project milestones throughout the organization.</p> <p>The leader uses complex project management to build systems</p> | <p>Projects are managed using clear and written lists of milestones, deadlines, and persons responsible.</p> <p>Project management documents are revised and updated as milestones are achieved or deadlines are changed.</p> <p>The leader understands the</p> | <p>Projects are managed using lists of milestones and deadlines, but are infrequently updated.</p> <p>The impacts of changes in one task are not clear and are rarely documented.</p> <p>The leader's prioritized task list includes tasks that are, in actuality, projects composed</p> | <p>Project management is haphazard or nonexistent. There is little or no evidence of lists of milestones and deadlines.</p> |

| CROSSWALK: | Highly Effective | Effective | Effective | Ineffective |
|-----------------------------|---|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| <i>Leadership Dimension</i> | <i>Exemplary (4)</i> | <i>Proficient (3)</i> | <i>Progressing (2)</i> | <i>Unsatisfactory (1)</i> |
| | <p>thinking throughout the organization.</p> <p>Project plans are visible in heavily trafficked areas so that accomplishments are publicly celebrated and project challenges are open for input from a wide variety of sources.</p> | <p>impact of a change in a milestone or deadline on the entire project, and communicates those changes to the appropriate people in the organization.</p> <p>The leader's task list carefully differentiates between task and a project.</p> | <p>of multiple tasks.</p> | |

8.0 Technology

| | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| 8.1 Demonstrated use of technology to improve teaching and learning | <p>In addition to meeting the criteria for proficient performance, the leader serves as a model for technology implementation to other organizations. The links between technology implementation and learning success are clear and public.</p> <p>The leader coaches the entire staff</p> | <p>The leader uses technology personally in a competent manner and links technology initiatives of the organization to specific teaching/learning objectives.</p> <p>Personally uses email, word processing,</p> | <p>The leader is personally proficient in technology and appears to be an advocate for the use of technology, but does not always differentiate between technology implementation and a clear impact on teaching and learning.</p> | <p>The leader does not display personal competence in technology applications.</p> <p>The leader does not link the installation of technology to specific teaching and learning objectives.</p> |
|---|---|--|--|---|

| CROSSWALK: | Highly Effective | Effective | Effective | Ineffective |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| <i>Leadership Dimension</i> | <i>Exemplary (4)</i> | <i>Proficient (3)</i> | <i>Progressing (2)</i> | <i>Unsatisfactory (1)</i> |
| | on the results of the linkage between technology and organizational success, creating new ways to save resources and improve organizational effectiveness. | spreadsheets, presentation software, data bases, and district software. Personal study and professional development reflect a commitment to continued learning. | Takes limited initiative to learn new technology. | |
| 9.0 Learning | | | | |
| 9.1 Personal understanding of research trends in education and leadership | In addition to personal reading that is wide and deep in the field of educational research, the leader contributes directly to research, providing case studies, experimental results, and research questions to serve the interests of other leaders and educational organizations. | Personal reading, learning, and teaching of educational research trends. | Occasional educational research reading and some interest in personal reading and learning. | Little or no evidence of personal learning and research. |

Appendix J:
Educator Performance Evaluation Summative--Administrator

Administrator Name:

Date:

Educator Performance Evaluation Protocol

Educator evaluation will be comprised of the following components:

Ratings--4 = Exemplary 3 = Proficient 2 = Progressing 1 = Unsatisfactory

| Components | % | Rating | Evidence |
|---|-------------------------|--|---|
| A. Educator-specific student academic achievement | 25% (2015/16) | <u>Rationale for Rating</u> <u>Rating</u> — | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NWEA MAP Scores Summary of Proficiency (41st percentile +) for areas supervised—15% • 3 quality data points for subjects/areas supervised that demonstrate improved student achievement- 10% |
| B. Formal Evaluation Results | 25% | <u>Rating</u> — | Based on formal observation overall rating--25% |
| C. Evidence of Professional Growth | 25% | <u>Rational for Rating</u> <u>Rating</u> — | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • IPGP/Administrative Goal – 8.33% • Four quality examples of how you used additional/recent professional development opportunities(within three years with added demensions) on an on-going basis in order to create specific instructional/classroom adaptations to improve professional practice and learning outcomes for students –8.33% • Evidence of at least one active involvement in school/ISD committee(s) and how this improved student achievement— |

| | | | |
|---|-----|--|--|
| | | | 8.33% |
| D. Professional Contributions/ Professional Activities | 25% | <u>Rationale for Rating</u> <u>Rating</u> — | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Four quality examples of leadership, teaching, behavioral and/or curriculum research-based strategies (not used in Component C) that are associated with improved student achievement—8.33% • 3 quality data points for subjects/areas supervised that demonstrate improved student achievement- 10%—8.33% • Evidence of participation in twenty school-related extra-curricular activities –8.33% |
| Total | 100 | Overall Rating — | |

ADMINISTRATOR SUMMATIVE EVALUATION OF INDICATORS OF PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE

Administrator Name:

Date of Evaluation:

4=Exemplary 3 = Proficient 2 = Progressing 1 = Unsatisfactory

| Leadership Dimension 1.0 <i>Resilience</i> | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 1.1 Willingness to admit error and learn from it | | | | |
| COMMENTS: | | | | |
| 1.2 Constructively handles disagreement with leadership/policy decisions and dissent from subordinates | | | | |
| COMMENTS: | | | | |
| 1.3 Explicit improvement of specific performance areas based on the previous leadership evaluation | | | | |
| COMMENTS: | | | | |
| Overall Rating 1.0— | | | | |

| Leadership Dimension 2.0 <i>Personal Behavior</i> | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 2.1 Integrity in meeting commitments | | | | |
| COMMENTS: | | | | |
| 2.2 Emotional self-control | | | | |
| COMMENTS: | | | | |
| 2.3 Compliance with legal/ethical requirements in relationships with employees/students | | | | |
| COMMENTS: | | | | |
| 2.4 Tolerance of different points of view within the boundaries of the values/mission of the organization | | | | |
| COMMENTS: | | | | |
| Overall Rating 2.0— | | | | |

| Leadership Dimension 3.0 <i>Decision Making</i> | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 3.1 Factual basis for decisions, including specific reference to internal/external data on student achievement and objective data on curriculum, teaching practices, and leadership practices | | | | |
| COMMENTS: | | | | |
| 3.2 Decisions evaluated for effectiveness and revised where necessary | | | | |
| COMMENTS: | | | | |
| Overall Rating 3.0-- | | | | |

| Leadership Dimension 4.0 <i>Communication</i> | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4.1 Two-way communication with students, staff, parents, and community | | | | |
| COMMENTS: | | | | |
| Overall Rating 4.0-- | | | | |

| Leadership Dimension 5.0 <i>Faculty Development</i> | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 5.1 Understanding of faculty proficiencies and needs for further development | | | | |
| COMMENTS: | | | | |
| 5.2 Formal/informal feedback to colleagues with the exclusive purpose of improving individual/organizational performance | | | | |
| COMMENTS: | | | | |
| Overall Rating 5.0-- | | | | |

| Leadership Dimension 6.0 <i>Leadership Development</i> | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 6.1 Strong assistant administrators who are capable of immediately assuming leadership responsibilities in this school or other buildings and identification of potential future leaders | | | | |
| COMMENTS: | | | | |
| 6.2 Evidence of delegation and trust in subordinate leaders | | | | |
| COMMENTS: | | | | |
| Overall Rating 6.0— | | | | |

| Leadership Dimension 7.0 <i>Time/Task/Project Management</i> | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 7.1 Choices for time management reflect a focus on the most important priorities | | | | |
| COMMENTS: | | | | |
| 7.2 Complex projects have clear objectives and coherent plans | | | | |
| COMMENTS: | | | | |
| Overall Rating 7.0--- | | | | |

| Leadership Dimension 8.0 Communication | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 8.1 Demonstrated use of technology to improve teaching and learning | | | | |
| COMMENTS: | | | | |
| Overall Rating 8.0— | | | | |

| Leadership Dimension 9.0 <i>Learning</i> | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 9.1 Personal understanding of research trends in education and leadership | | | | |
| COMMENTS: | | | | |
| Overall Rating 9.0— | | | | |
| Overall Indicator Rating for Component B— | | | | |

Signature of Administrator: _____ **Date:** _____

Signature of Supervisor: _____ **Date:** _____

Appendix K:

Administrator Evaluation Timeline/Protocol

1. **October**—the administrative assistant will send an appointment to each administrator for a pre-evaluation conference with the superintendent. During this conference, the superintendent will review the Administrative Evaluation Indicators with the administrator and discuss the types of evidence that will need to support evaluation ratings as outlined in the Educator Performance Evaluation Protocol Chart. At this time, the Administrative Goal will also be discussed. Administrative Goals are due during the first week in November.
2. **Evidence Gathering Completion Conference**—when an administrator has gathered evidence as described in the Educator Performance Evaluation Protocol, the administrator will notify the administrative assistant to schedule an appointment for the administrator with the superintendent to discuss the evidence. All evidence must be gathered by mid-March to allow sufficient time for superintendent to complete the evaluation process prior to the April Board meeting.
3. **Completion of the Administrator Summative Evaluation**--within two weeks of the Evidence Gathering Completion Conference, the superintendent will complete the Administrator Summative Evaluation.
4. **Post-Evaluation Conference**—the administrative assistant will make an appointment for administrators with the superintendent to review the Administrator Performance Evaluation Summative. At that time an overall rating of “Exemplary,” “Proficient,” “Progressing or “Unsatisfactory” will be assigned. The superintendent and the administrator will sign the summative evaluation and it will be scanned into the administrator’s personnel file.

Appendix L: Please word process and submit to your e-portfolio no later than November, 2015.

**JKL Bahweting Anishnabe PSA
Individual Professional Growth Plan (IPGP)
School Assigned – 2016-2017**

Name:
Position:
Date:

I. School-Assigned IPGP Goal:

A. Domain/Element/Component (from Domains of Effective Teaching/Leadership Performance Matrix):

Goal #1 (What)

Objective #1 (How)

Assessment #1 (Measurable Outcome/s)

Students will demonstrate content proficiency through data collection and analysis of summative (standardized) and formative (on-going classroom) assessments/samples.

| Action Steps: | By When: | Review Date: | Completed: |
|---------------|----------|--------------|------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Support from my Supervisor:

➤
➤

**JKL Bahweting Anishnabe PSA
Individual Professional Growth Plan (IPGP)
Teacher Self-Designed – 2016-2017**

II. Teacher-Developed IPGP Goals:

Choose ANY one (1) goal from the “Domains of Effective Teaching Matrix/Leadership Performance Matrix” in the Educator Evaluation and Student Achievement Growth Plan

B. Domain/Element/Component (from Domains of Effective Teaching/Leadership Performance Matrix):

Goal #2: (What)

Teacher will

Objective #2: (How)

Teacher will

Assessment #2: (Measureable Outcome/s)

Students will demonstrate

| Action Steps: | By When: | Review Date | Completed |
|---------------|----------|-------------|-----------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Support from my Supervisor:

➤
➤

**JKL Bahweting Anishnabe PSA
Individual Professional Growth Plan (IPGP)
Reflection for Goals/Objectives – 2016-17**

Teacher _____
Grade/Subject _____

I. School Assigned Individual Professional Growth Plan Goals/Objective:

Goal #1 – Cooperative Learning Strategies:

Goal # 1 Rating: _____

Points of Evidence Demonstrating Student Achievement: (Summary of Analysis)

II. Educator-Developed Individual Professional Growth Plan Goal:

Goal #2 – Topic: _____

Goal # 2 _____ Rating: _____

Points of Evidence Demonstrating Student Achievement: (Summary of Analysis)

Supervisor Comments:

(Supervisor will meet with teacher to discuss the IPGP Reflection and change over time / new learning).

Teacher Signature

Date

Supervisor Signature

Date

Rating Descriptors: (See IPGP Rubric, Appendix M, of JKL Bahweting School Educator Evaluation and Student Achievement and Growth Plan for full rating details)

- Exemplary
- Proficient
- Progressing
- Unsatisfactory

Appendix M:

IPGP Rubric

| | Exemplary (Highly Effective) | Proficient (Effective) | Progressing (Effective) | Unsatisfactory (Ineffective) |
|---------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Goals | All of the goals are clearly defined, outcomes are clear, written in the form of student learning, and permit viable methods of assessment | Goals are clearly defined, outcomes are clear and student centered | Goals are identified but not clearly defined and/or outcome is unclear | No goals are identified |
| Standards | Standards are clearly identified and represent high expectations and rigor and important learning in the discipline. They are connected to a sequence of learning both in the discipline and in related disciplines | Most standards are clearly identified and represent high expectations and rigor and important learning in the discipline | Some standards are clearly identified and represent high expectations and rigor and important learning in the discipline | Standards are not identified/do not reflect high expectations and rigor |
| Action Steps | All action steps are complete by the target date and are connected to the standards in the discipline and in related disciplines | Most action steps are complete by the target date and are connected to the standards in the discipline | Some action steps are complete by the target date and are connected to the standards in the discipline | Action steps were not completed |

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| Sources of Evidence | Extensive sources of evidence to support progress toward measurable goals is evident | Multiple sources of evidence to support progress toward measurable goals is evident | Some sources of evidence to support progress toward measurable goals is evident | Limited/No evidence available to support progress |
|----------------------------|--|---|---|---|

Note: Add individual categories and divide by 4.

Appendix N:

Professional Contributions/Professional Activities Rubric

| Exemplary | Proficient | Progressing | Unsatisfactory |
|---|---|---|--|
| Educator establishes/takes leadership in professional learning communities, implementing research-based instructional strategies, &/or action research projects and demonstrates changes in instructional/curricular/assessment practices for student achievement | Educator participates in professional learning communities, implementing research-based instructional strategies, &/or action research projects and demonstrates changes in instructional/curricular/assessment practices for student achievement | Educator participates in professional learning communities, implementing research-based instructional strategies, &/or action research projects | Educator does not participate in professional learning communities &/or action research projects |
| Educator takes on leadership for the implementation of school initiatives and demonstrates full implementation | Educator embraces and demonstrates implementation of school initiatives | Educator accepts school initiatives and demonstrates limited implementation of school initiatives | Educator does not implement school initiatives |
| Educator has a clear understanding of the culture and mission of the school and links participation in school events to benefits to the school atmosphere | Educator has an understanding of the culture and mission of the school and uses that understanding to guide participation decisions | Educator accepts the culture and mission of the school | Educator lacks an understanding of the culture and mission of the school |
| Educator volunteers to participate in school events, making substantial contribution | Educator volunteers to participate in school events | Educator participates in school events when asked | Educator not involved in school events |
| Educator voluntarily assumes a | Educator voluntarily assumes a | Educator assumes a leadership | Educator avoids leadership role |

leadership role in several aspects
of school life

leadership role in at least some
aspect of school life

role when asked in some
aspect of school life

Appendix P:

Educator Performance Evaluation Summative

Educator Name:

Date:

Educator Performance Evaluation Protocol

Educator evaluation will be comprised of the following components:

Ratings--4 = Exemplary 3 = Proficient 2 = Progressing 1 = Unsatisfactory

| Components | % | Rating | Evidence | Supervisor Comments |
|--|-------------------------|---------------|---|----------------------------|
| A. Educator-specific student academic achievement data (Appendix B) | 25% (2015/16) | | 5. Proficiency (41 st percentile+) on NWEA MAP-- for subjects teachers provide instruction; specials teachers use K-8 MAP ELA and Math scores— 15% 6. Proficiency (70% +) on Study Island instead of MAP for teachers who teach only social studies —15% 7. Other Data Points for subject areas taught- 10% Overall Component Rating | |
| B. Formal Evaluation Results (Appendix C and D) Or | 25% | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Based on formal observation overall rating –25% | |

| | | | | |
|------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|--|
| (Appendix I & J) | | | Overall Component Rating | |
|------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|--|

| Components | % | Rating | Evidence | Supervisor Comments |
|---|------------|---------------|---|----------------------------|
| C. Evidence of Professional Growth (Appendix M) | 25% | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Completion of IPGP/Administrative Goal— 8.33% • Four quality examples of how you used additional/recent professional development opportunities (up to three years with added dimensions) on an on-going basis in order to create specific instructional/classroom adaptations to improve professional practice and learning outcomes for students – 8.33% • Evidence of at least one active involvement in school/ISD committees and evidence as to how this involvement improved student achievement –8.33% <p>Overall Component Rating</p> | |
| D. Professional Contributions/ Professional Activities | 25% | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Four quality examples of leadership, teaching, behavioral and/or curriculum research-based strategies (not used in Component C) associated | |

| | | | | |
|--------------|---------|--|---|--|
| (Appendix N) | | | <p>with improved student achievement—8.33%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One classroom Observation per semester (teacher)—8.33% • Number of Walk-Throughs (administrator)—8.33% • Evidence of participation in ten (teacher)/twenty (administrator) school-related extra-curricular activities –8.33% | |
| Total | 100/100 | | Overall Component Rating | |

Teacher Signature: _____ **Date:** _____

Supervisor Signature: _____ **Date:** _____

Educator Evaluation & Student Growth

References

Developing an Educator Evaluation System: Improving Educator and Student Performance Guidelines for School Districts and Unions, prepared by The Education Alliance of Michigan, with support of a grant from the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service, May 2011.

A Framework for Michigan Educator Evaluations (under the new school reform law 2009, PA 205 Section 1249), joint proposal from American Federation of Teachers-Michigan, Michigan Education Association, Michigan Association of Secondary School Principal, Michigan Elementary and Middle School Principals Association.

Local Guide to Developing an Effective Teacher Evaluation System,

Ciloski, Frank, *Teacher Evaluation and Pay for Performance*, PowerPoint, May 2011

MDE/CEPI, *Connecting Teachers to Students, the teacher student data link (TSDL)*, PowerPoint, January, 2011.

Colflesh, N., (presenter or author?) *Using Student Achievement Measures to Evaluate Teachers*, The informed Educator Series, 2006, ERS.

Danielson, C. *Enhancing Professional Practice: A Framework for Teaching*. ASCD, 1996.

Reeves, D. *Assessing Educational Leaders: Evaluating Performance for Improved Individual and Organizational Results*, pp 105-128, Corwin Press, 2004